ARTICLE

BIOTECHNOLOGY
BIOENGINEERING WILEY

Identification of a novel repressor encoded by the putative gene *ctf1* for cellulase biosynthesis in *Trichoderma reesei* through artificial zinc finger engineering

Qing-Shan Meng | Fei Zhang | Chen-Guang Liu | Xin-Qing Zhao | Feng-Wu Bai 🄳

State Key Laboratory of Microbial Metabolism, Joint International Research Laboratory of Metabolic and Developmental Science, and School of Life Science and Biotechnology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

Correspondence
Xin-Qing Zhao and Feng-Wu Bai, State Key Laboratory of Microbial Metabolism, Joint International Research Laboratory of Metabolic and Developmental Science, and School of Life Science and Biotechnology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 201100, China.
Email: xqzhao@sjtu.edu.cn (X-Q. Z) and fwbai@sjtu.edu.cn (F-W. B)

Abstract

Strains from *Trichoderma reesei* have been used for cellulase production with a long history. It has been well known that cellulase biosynthesis by the fungal species is controlled through regulators, and elucidation of their regulation network is of great importance for engineering *T. reesei* with robust cellulase production. However, progress in this regard is still very limited. In this study, *T. reesei* RUT-C30 was transformed with an artificial zinc finger protein (AZFP) library, and the mutant *T. reesei* M2 with improved cellulase production was screened. Compared to its parent strain, the filter paper activity and endo-β-glucanase activity in cellulases produced by *T. reesei* M2 increased 67.2% and 35.3%, respectively. Analysis by quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction indicated significant downregulation of the putative gene *ctf1* in *T. reesei* M2, and its deletion mutants were thus developed for further studies. An increase of 36.9% in cellulase production was observed in the deletion mutants, but when *ctf1* was constitutively overexpressed in *T. reesei* RUT-C30 under the control of the strong *pdc1* promoter, cellulase production was substantially compromised. Comparative transcriptomic analysis revealed that the deletion of *ctf1* upregulated transcription of gene encoding the regulator VIB1, but downregulated transcription of gene encoding another regulator RCE1, which consequently upregulated genes encoding the transcription factors XYR1 and ACE3 for the activation of genes encoding cellulolytic enzymes. As a result, *ctf1* was characterized as a gene encoding a repressor for cellulase production in *T. reesei* RUT-C30, which is significant for further elucidating molecular mechanism underlying cellulase biosynthesis by the fungal species for rational design to develop robust strains for cellulase production. And in the meantime, AZFP transformation was validated to be an effective strategy for identifying functions of putative genes in the genome of *T. reesei*.

KEYWORDS
artificial zinc finger proteins, cellulase production, *ctf1*, transcription regulator, *Trichoderma reesei*

1 | INTRODUCTION

Lignocellulosic biomass is sustainable and environmentally friendly for biorefinery to produce fuels and chemicals, alleviating dependence on fossil resources such as crude oil, and in the meantime, mitigating greenhouse gas emissions, since, theoretically, CO_2 released during the consumption of bio-based products can be fixed by plants through photosynthesis without net CO_2 increase in environment (Daystar, Venditti, & Kelley, 2017; Nanda, Azargohar, Dalai, & Kozinski, 2015). No doubt, enzymatic hydrolysis of the cellulose component in lignocellulosic biomass to release glucose at low cost is a prerequisite for the biorefinery to produce various products through microbial fermentation (Yang, Dai, Ding, & Wyman, 2015). However, cellulose as one of the major components in plant cell walls has evolved naturally to be recalcitrant for degradation through enzymatic hydrolysis, and a synergistic action of different cellulolytic enzymes is needed for such a purpose (Himmel et al., 2007). As a result, cellulases produced at low cost have been acknowledged as one of the bottlenecks for developing sugar platform with lignocellulosic biomass for microbial fermentation (Klein-Marcuschamer, Oleskowicz-Popiel, Simmons, & Blanch, 2012).

Strains from the filamentous fungus *Trichoderma reesei* have been used for cellulase production in the industry for a long time due to their ability to synthesize and secrete cellulolytic enzymes including endo-glucanases (EGs), exo-glucanases or cellobiohydrolases (CBHs), and β-glucosidases (BGLs) as well as various hemicellulases to hydrolyze cellulose and hemicelluloses in lignocellulosic biomass (Bischof, Ramoni, & Seiboth, 2016), but unfortunately, cellulase production by *T. reesei* through submerged fermentation is very costly for the biorefinery due to intensive energy consumption associated with the process. On the one hand, both mycelial growth of *T. reesei* and its cellulase production are aerobic, and vigorous oxygen supply is required as the biomass is accumulated. On the other hand, high viscosity characterized by non-Newtonian fluid properties is developed quickly for the fermentation broth as mycelia grow, making mixing and oxygen mass transfer within fermentors extremely poor, especially in the late exponential growth phase when mycelial biomass is accumulated to high density for cellulase production (Gabelle et al., 2012). Apparently, engineered *T. reesei* to increase cellulase titers without significant extension for the fermentation time can substantially save energy consumption for cellulase production at large scale.

Cellulase biosynthesis is regulated by transcription factors, and at least five transcriptional activators—XYR1, ACE2, ACE3, BglR, and the HAP2/3/5 complex and three transcriptional repressors—CRE1, ACE1, and RCE1—have been reported so far (Druzhinina & Kubicek, 2017; Shida, Furukawa, & Ogasawara, 2016). While CRE1 is the main transcription factor that mediates carbon catabolite repression (CCR) to block cellulase biosynthesis in the presence of glucose (Strauss et al., 1995), XYR1 can activate gene expression for producing cellulases and hemicellulases by *T. reesei* (Stricker, Grosstessner-Hain, Wurleitner, & Mach, 2006). In addition, a few more regulatory factors including velvet family proteins such as the putative methyltransferase Lae1 and transcription factor VIB1 have also been reported for their regulation on

cellulase production by *T. reesei* (Aghcheh et al., 2014; Ivanova et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2016; Seiboth et al., 2012; Zhang, Zhao, & Bai, 2018). More recently, the role of signal transduction pathways such as mitogen-activated protein kinases and calcium signaling in cellulase biosynthesis by *T. reesei* was investigated (Chen, Shen, Wang, & Wei, 2018; Wang et al., 2017). However, due to the lack of complete annotation for genes in the genome of *T. reesei*, an in-depth understanding of cellulase biosynthesis, particularly the involvement of other regulators in the process, is not available. Sequencing and analysis of the genome of *T. reesei* have started a new era for elucidating molecular mechanism underlying its cellulase biosynthesis by identifying more genes encoding cellulolytic enzymes and transcription factors (Amore, Giacobbe, & Faraco, 2013; Martinez et al., 2008), but a long way is still ahead for identifying functions of many putative genes.

Zinc finger proteins (ZFPs) are a class of proteins that are abundant in eukaryotic genomes with diverse functions, and many of them are transcription factors with domains for DNA binding to regulate gene expression with physiological processes (Laity, Lee, & Wright, 2001). The C_2H_2 ZFP is classical, which is composed of two cysteines within two β-strands in the N-terminal and two histidines within one α-helix in the C-terminal for the ββα framework with a total of 28–30 amino acid residues, and stabilized uniquely by Zn^{2+} through coordinating the cysteine and histidine residues (Fedotova, Bonchuk, Mogila, & Georgiev, 2017). This structure can recognize a specific 3-bp DNA sequence for binding onto, and thus could be used to design artificial ZFPs (AZFPs) with multiple C_2H_2 domains through tandem connections for recognizing different DNA sequences or genes, and consequently regulating their functions (Park et al., 2003). Recently, an AZFP library composed of four ZFPs followed by a Gal4 activation domain was constructed for expression in *T. reesei* RUT-C30, and experimental results indicated that the AZFP library is a useful tool for engineering *T. reesei* to improve its cellulase production (Zhang, Bai, & Zhao, 2016).

T. reesei RUT-C30 was developed previously at Rutgers University through random mutagenesis from the wild-type strain *T. reesei* QM6a that was isolated by the US Army Quartermaster Collection over 70 years ago (Bischof et al., 2016). So far, almost all strains used in the industry for cellulase production have been derived from *T. reesei* RUT-C30, which has been characterized more completely than any other strains. With the genome of *T. reesei* QM6a sequenced and analyzed more than 10 years ago (Martinez et al., 2008), the genome of *T. reesei* RUT-C30 was also sequenced for comparison to identify their genetic differences for more understanding on its enhanced cellulase production (Crom et al., 2009; Seidl et al., 2008).

In this study, a putative gene *ctf1* was identified as a novel repressor for cellulase production through engineering *T. reesei* RUT-C30 with the AZFP library, and comparative transcriptomic analysis revealed insight for its regulatory mechanism. We therefore highlight that not only can the AZFP library be used to engineer *T. reesei* for improved cellulase production, but also to identify functions for putative genes in its genome by screening and analyzing the mutants for developing robust strains to produce cellulases more efficiently through rational design.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Strains, media, and culture conditions

Escherichia coli DH5α (TransGen, Beijing, China) was used for plasmid propagation, which was cultured in a shaker at 37°C and 200 rpm using lysogeny broth (LB) medium. The transformation of *T. reesei* was performed by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* mediated transformation (ATMT) (Zhong, Wang, Wang, & Jiang, 2007). *A. tumefaciens* AGL-1 transformants with the pCB303-ZFP library for fungal transformation were grown at 28°C and 200 rpm using LB medium supplemented with kanamycin. The induction medium containing 200 μmol/L acetosyringone (Sangon Biotech, China) was prepared as previously reported (Michielse, Hooykaas, van den Hondel, & Ram, 2008). *T. reesei* RUT-C30 (ATCC 56765) was used as the parent strain, which was engineered with the AZFP library for screening mutants with improved cellulase production. Details on the library development and assessment of its quality, capacity, and transformation efficiency are available in our previous work (Zhang et al., 2016).

All *T. reesei* mutants were cultured first on solid medium containing 3% malt extract and 2% agar at 28°C for 5–7 days to produce conidia, which were harvested, and then inoculated with 10^5/ml into a 250-ml Erlenmeyer flask containing 50 ml minimal medium supplemented with 0.1% peptone and 2% glucose to grow mycelia at 28°C and 200 rpm for 36 hr. The minimal medium composed of (g/L): $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, 5; KH_2PO_4, 15; $MgSO_4$, 0.6; $CaCl_2$, 0.8; $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$, 0.0005; $MnSO_4 \cdot H_2O$, 0.0016; $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$, 0.0014; $CoCl_2$, 0.0002 (Liu et al., 2016).

2.2 | Assays for cellulases, extracellular proteins, and mycelial biomass

Mycelial culture of 5 ml was inoculated into a 250-ml Erlenmeyer flask containing 50 ml minimal medium supplemented with 2% (w/v) microcrystalline cellulose for cellulase production at 28°C and 200 rpm, which was characterized by the activities of filter paper (FPase), endo-glucanase (CMCase), exo-glucanase (*p*NPCase) and β-glucosidase (*p*NPGase) following the protocol developed previously (Gao et al., 2017; Wood & Bhat, 1988). In addition, extracellular proteins were assayed using the BCA Kit (Sangon Biotech) after mycelia were removed by centrifugation.

When cellulose is used as substrate for cellulase production by *T. reesei*, mycelial biomass cannot be measured directly. To address such a challenge, mycelial growth was characterized indirectly by measuring intracellular proteins that were extracted by 1 M NaOH according to the protocol developed previously (Aro, Ilmen, Saloheimo, & Penttila, 2003).

2.3 | Genomic DNA fragments flanking with *Azfp*-M2 insert from *T. reesei* M2

Thermal asymmetrical interlaced polymerase chain reaction (TAIL-PCR) was used to clone the genomic DNA fragments flanking with *Azfp*-M2 insert from *T. reesei* M2 following the protocol previously described (Liu & Chen, 2007). The specific right-border primers (RB-1, RB-2, and RB-3), left-border primers (LB-1, LB-2, and LB-3), and three arbitrary degenerate primes (AD-1, AD-2, and AD-3) are shown in Table S1. The TAIL-PCR product of *Azfp*-M2 from *T. reesei* M2 was purified with Gel Extraction Kit (Omega) and sequenced at Tsingke (Shanghai, China). Based on the sequence information of *T. reesei* RUT-C30 from the DOE Joint Genome Institute (JGI) database (https://genome.jgi.doe.gov/TrireRUTC30_1/TrireRUTC30_1.home.html), comparison was performed for the *Azfp* sequence using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool Program provided by National Center for Biotechnology Information (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) to search nucleotide sequences in the genome of *T. reesei* RUT-C30 for their potential binding with the AZFP.

2.4 | Western blot verification for the expression of AZFP in *T. reesei* M2

The conidia of *T. reesei* M2 and RUT-C30 were cultured in the minimal medium supplemented with 2% cellulose at 28°C and 200 rpm for 48 hr to grow mycelia, which were then collected through centrifugation at 8,228 g for 10 min to extract intracellular proteins by grinding under protection with liquid nitrogen. For the detection of the V5-tagged AZFP in *T. reesei* M2, 20 μg protein extract was loaded onto the 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel for electrophoresis, and the anti-V5 epitope antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was used to bind the protein specifically (Park et al., 2003). Bands of Western blot were visualized using the DAB Kit (Sangon Biotech).

2.5 | Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction analysis

Mycelia were cultured, and harvested at 24 hr and 48 hr, respectively. Total RNA was extracted using the Spin Column Plant Total RNA Purification Kit (Sangon Biotech), and the complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis was carried out using the PrimeScript® RT Reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara, Japan). Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis was carried out with iQ SYBR Green Supermix Kit (Bio-Rad) and the CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad) using primers listed in Table S2. The housekeeping gene encoding the translation elongation factor 1α (*tef*) was used as the reference (Steiger, Mach, & Mach-Aigner, 2010). Two biological replicates and three technical replicates for each PCR reaction were performed, and the relative transcription of genes was normalized according to the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001).

2.6 | Overexpression of putative genes in *T. reesei* RUT-C30

The putative genes of TrireRUTC30:10530 (*ctf1*), TrireRUTC30:123146 and TrireRUTC30:131902 screened by the

transcription analysis were amplified from the genomic DNA of *T. reesei* RUT-C30 with the primers listed in Table S1. Then, the fragments were ligated into the *NcoI/XbaI* sites of the plasmid pCB304 under the control of the constitutive *pdc1* promoter (Li et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2016) to develop vectors pCZF-10530, pCZF-123146, and pCZF-131902 (Figure S1). The recombinant plasmids were transformed into *T. reesei* RUT-C30 by ATMT to explore their impact on cellulase production. Since these genes were integrated into the genome of *T. reesei* RUT-C30 randomly for overexpression, three transformants were selected on the minimal medium plate supplemented with 2% glucose and 300 µg/L hygromycin B for genetic manipulation with each gene, which were verified by PCR, and qRT-PCR analysis was further employed to quantify the transcription of *ctf1* in the transformants (Figure S2).

2.7 | Construction and analysis of *ctf1* deletion and complementation mutants

Mutants for *ctf1* deletion (*T. reesei* Δ*ctf1*) and its *ctf1* complementation were developed through homologous recombination, which were verified by PCR (Figure S3). Two mutants for *ctf1* deletion (*T. reesei* Δ*ctf1*-T1 and T2) and *ctf1* complementation to the deletion mutants (*T. reesei* *ctf1*-rec-T1 and T2) were developed, respectively, to study the role of *ctf1* on cellulase biosynthesis in *T. reesei*. Such an experimental design could assess potential impact of those genetic manipulations on functions of other genes in the genome of *T. reesei* RUT-C30. Primers used for the construction of *ctf1* deletion and complementation cassettes and verification of the transformants were given in Table S1.

First, the hygromycin B phosphotransferase gene expression cassette ptrpC-*hph*-ttrpC was amplified from the vector pSilent-1 (Nakayashiki et al., 2005). Upstream and downstream fragments of *ctf1* were obtained through PCR amplification from the genome of *T. reesei* RUT-C30 using the KOD Polymerase (Toyobo, Japan). The amplicons were then mixed at a molar ratio of 1:3:1 for 5′-flanking region: ptrpC-*hph*-ttrpC: 3′-flanking region, which were linked together through another round of PCR reaction. The PCR product was used as the template for the third round of PCR to construct the *ctf1* deletion cassette with the nested primers Δ*ctf1*-F/Δ*ctf1*-R. The *ctf1* deletion cassette was transformed into the protoplast of *T. reesei* RUT-C30 using the method described elsewhere (Li, Du, Zhong, & Wang, 2010). Transformants were cultured and screened on the minimal medium plate supplemented with 2% glucose and 300 µg/L hygromycin B, and the deletion of *ctf1* was confirmed by PCR (Figure S3A).

For the complementation of *ctf1* in *T. reesei* Δ*ctf1*, a 5181 bp DNA fragment containing the upstream, *ctf1* and a 774 bp TtrpC terminator DNA fragment were amplified from the genome of *T. reesei* RUT-C30 using the primer pairs *ctf1*-upstream-F/R and TtrpC-F/R, respectively. Then, the two DNA fragments were inserted into the *ctf1* expression cassette by the overlap extension PCR. Similarly, the 1606 bp *pdc1* promoter, the 1633 bp downstream region and the 610 bp selecting marker gene *bar* encoding phosphinothricin acetyltransferase were amplified from the genome of *T. reesei* RUT-C30 and the pBar vector (Zhang et al., 2016), respectively. Furthermore, the three fragments were inserted into the *bar* expression cassette through the overlap extension PCR. Finally, the *ctf1* expression cassette, the *bar* expression cassette and the pUG6 fragment amplified from the pUG6 vector were linked together by the RecET direct cloning technology to form the pUG6-*ctf1*-bar vector (Wang et al., 2016), which was transformed into the protoplast of *T. reesei* Δ*ctf1*, and transformants were screened on the minimal medium plate supplemented with 2% glucose and 300 µg/L glufosinate ammonium. The *ctf1* complementation mutants were verified by PCR using the primer pairs *ctf1*-up-verif-F/bar-verif-R and bar-verif-F/*ctf1*-down-verif-R (Figure S3B).

Mutants with *ctf1* deletion and complementation were inoculated with 2 µl spore suspension containing 10^6 spores/ml into the minimal medium plates supplemented with 2% (w/v) carbon source including cellulose, lactose, glycerol and glucose, respectively, which were incubated at 28°C for 3–5 days to assess cellulase production through comparing the size of transparent zones developed by the enzymatic degradation of cellulose. Followed the preliminary assessment, submerged culture was performed in flask at 28°C and 180 rpm using the minimal medium supplemented with 2% (w/v) cellulose to further quantify cellulase production.

2.8 | RNA-seq analysis for the *ctf1* deletion mutant

cDNA libraries prepared from messenger RNA (mRNA) of *T. reesei* Δ*ctf1* were organized based on the protocol developed by Illumina Inc. (San Diego, CA), which were sequenced by the HiSeq 2000 platform at BGI (Shenzhen, China). Sequenced reads were mapped against transcripts predicted for the genome of *T. reesei* RUT-C30 from JGI Genome Portal (https://genome.jgi.doe.gov/TrireRUTC30_1/TrireRUTC30_1.home.html) (Kim, Landmead, & Salzberg, 2015). The transcription of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) was normalized through the number of reads/fragments per kb of the exon region per million mapped reads (FPKM). Furthermore, Log_2R (FPKM ratio of the samples) was used to characterize the transcription of genes, and DEGs were screened with the threshold $-1 \geq Log_2R \geq 1$. The false discovery rate was used to determine the *p*-value of the multiple test (Benjamini, Drai, Elmer, Kafkafi, & Golani, 2001), and $p \leq .01$ was used to evaluate the statistic significance.

2.9 | Heterogeneous expression of the DNA-binding domain of CTF1 for electrophoretic mobility shift assays

To express the DNA binding domain of CTF1 in *E. coli*, the DNA fragment encoding the CTF1 binding domain with amino acids 1–120 was amplified from the first-strand cDNA of *T. reesei* RUT-C30, which was inserted into the pGEX4T-1 vector by the In-Fusion HD Cloning

Kit (Takara) to obtain the pGEX4T-1-CTF1$_{1-120}$ plasmid. Subsequently, the plasmid was introduced into *E. coli* BL21 for protein expression. Purification and verification of the GST-fused protein CTF1$_{1-120}$ were performed according to the methods described by Cao et al. (2017). The binding of the DNA-binding domain of CTF1 with targeted genes was verified through the electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) (Ruscher et al., 2000).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Development and screening of AZFP transformants

Approximately 600 transformants engineered with AZFPs were obtained (Zhang et al., 2016), and three of them were screened by naked eye from culture grown on cellulose plates based on the size of transparent zones developed for preliminary assessment on their cellulase production (Figure S4), through which *T. reesei* M2 was selected for further evaluation by submerged culture in the minimal medium supplemented with 2% cellulose.

As can be seen in Figure 1, the activities of FPase, CMCase, and *p*NPCase in cellulases produced by *T. reesei* M2 increased 67.2%,

35.3%, and 9.7%, respectively, compared to that detected in cellulases produced by *T. reesei* RUC-C30 when both were cultured for 8 days, although 50% lower activity in *p*NPGase was detected in cellulases produced by the mutant. On the other hand, no significant difference was observed for their production of extracellular proteins.

The expression of AZFP in *T. reesei* M2 (AZFP-M2) was confirmed by Western blot (Figure 2a), suggesting that the enhanced production of cellulases by *T. reesei* M2 might be caused by AZFP-M2, since potentially it could bind onto the nucleotide sequences of target genes to regulate their functions. To explore the potential targets, the gene encoding AZFP-M2 was amplified from the genome of *T. reesei* M2, and sequenced for alignment with amino acid residues. As can be seen in Figure 2b, AZFP-M2 is composed of four ZFP domains followed by the Gal4 effector domain, and four amino acid residues at sites −1, 2, 3, and 6 with each ZFP domain could bind to a specific DNA sequence, which was deduced to be 5′-GTTGYAHGAGGG-3′, in which Y and H represent C or T and A, C or T, respectively (Park et al., 2003). We further aligned the genome of *T. reesei* RUT-C30 with the binding sites of AZFP-M2, and a total of 21 binding sites were detected (Table S3). Genes with those binding sites include TrireRUTC30: 131902 encoding formaldehyde transketolase, TrireRUTC30: 87447 encoding triacylglycerol lipase,


FIGURE 1 Cellulase production by *Trichoderma reesei* M2 and RUT-C30 cultured at 28°C and 180 rpm in flasks using minimal medium supplemented with 2% cellulose. The *t*-test was applied for the statistic analysis, and the error bars represent standard deviations with the significance of $p < .05$ [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

(a)

(b)

(c)

FIGURE 2 Verification of artificial zinc finger protein (AZFP) expressed in *T. reesei* M2 by Western blot (a), alignment of amino acid residues for the AZFP based on the nucleotide sequence of its encoding gene and prediction of its binding sites to nucleotide sequences of potential genes for its regulation on their expression (b), and the thermal asymmetrical interlaced polymerase chain reaction analysis of the *Azfp* insertion locus in *T. reesei* M2 (c). Mycelia were cultured at 28°C and 180 rpm in flasks using minimal medium supplemented with 2% cellulose, and sampled at 48 hr and 72 hr, respectively [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

TrireRUTC30: 124264 encoding fructose-2,6-bisphosphatase, TrireRUTC30: 87303 encoding aminotransferase and TrireRUTC30: 33190 (*bgl1*) encoding β-glucosidase as well as 16 putative genes including *ctf1* with unknown functions.

The TAIL-PCR analysis indicated that *Azfp*-M2 was inserted in the middle of the intragenic region between TrireRUTC30:4597 and TrireRUTC30:67627 in the genome of *T. reesei* M2, which contains a long sequence of 7, 068 bp (Figure 2c), without interruption of any known genes. Therefore, it is less likely for AZFP-M2 to interrupt functions of other genes without direct interactions, but instead to affect functions of those genes with targeted nucleotide sequences for binding and interactions.

3.2 | Impact of the AZFP on the transcription profile of targeted genes in *T. reesei* M2

Transcription of the 21 putative genes potentially targeted by AZFP-M2 in the genome of *T. reesei* M2 (Table S3) was analyzed. The result indicated that the expression of *ctf1*, TrireRUTC30:123146, and TrireRUTC30:131902 was most significantly regulated. While the transcription of TrireRUTC30:123146 and TrireRUTC30:131902 was upregulated, the transcription of *ctf1* was downregulated (Figure 3a). On the other hand, the expression of genes encoding cellulolytic enzymes such as *egl1* and *egl2* were upregulated, which was in accordance with the enhanced activities of cellulolytic enzymes produced by *T. reesei* M2 highlighted in Figure 1. Moreover, compared to that observed in *T. reesei* RUT-C30, the transcription of *xyr1* and *ace2* was upregulated 1.7- and 1.0-fold, respectively, but the expression of *ace1*, a transcriptional repressor for the expression of genes encoding cellulolytic enzymes (Aro et al., 2003), was downregulated 1.0-fold in *T. reesei* M2 (Figure 3b).

We hypothesized that AZFP-M2 could exert impact on cellulase production by *T. reesei*, probably through its regulation on the expression of genes encoding cellulolytic enzymes directly and/or regulators to regulate cellulase biosynthesis indirectly. As a result, the target genes should be differentially expressed, and the bigger the difference of their transcriptional expression, the higher the possibility for those genes to be regulated would be. To verify such a hypothesis, we overexpressed *ctf1*, TrireRUTC30:123146, and TrireRUTC30:131902, respectively, in *T. reesei* RUT-C30.

As can be seen in Figure 4, the overexpression of *ctf1* in *T. reesei* RUT-C30 compromised the production of cellulases and secreted

FIGURE 3 Transcriptional analysis by quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction for genes potentially targeted by AZFP-M2 (a) and major genes encoding cellulolytic enzymes in *T. reesei* M2 (b). Error bars show the standard deviations, and the asterisk (*) indicates the significance of $p < .05$. Mycelia were cultured at 28°C and 180 rpm in flasks using minimal medium supplemented with 2% cellulose, and sampled at 24 hr and 48 hr, respectively. The expression of genes was normalized to that for the housekeeping gene *tef1*. AZFP, artificial zinc finger protein [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

proteins as well, leading to an average decrease of 30% and 25% in the FPase activity and the amount of extracellular proteins, respectively, compared to that detected during the culture of *T. reesei* RUT-C30 at 6 days. On the other hand, no significant impact on cellulase production was observed when TrireRUTC30:123146 or TrireRUTC30:131902 was overexpressed in *T. reesei* RUT-C30 (Figure S6). Therefore, it is very likely that *ctf1* could act as a repressor for cellulase biosynthesis by *T. reesei*, and the effect of its deletion on cellulase production was further explored to validate such a speculation.

3.3 | Cellulase production by *ctf1* deletion and complementation mutants

Two *ctf1* deletion mutants were selected. As shown in Figure 5a, *T. reesei* Δ*ctf1* (T1) developed a much larger transparent zone on

the cellulose plate, which is highlighted by the dark background, but such an effect was compromised when *ctf1* was complemented to the deletion mutant, indicating that the deletion of *ctf1* might improve cellulase production to hydrolyze cellulose more effectively. On the other hand, dense and compact mycelia were observed on the plate supplemented with glucose, indicating that the deletion of *ctf1* affected the mycelial morphology of *T. reesei*, which could benefit process design for its submerged culture to production cellulases more efficiently, since such a morphology would decrease the viscosity of the fermentation broth for more efficient mixing and oxygen mass transfer. No significant difference was observed for mycelial growth and cellulase production on the plates supplemented with lactose and glycerol, since lactose is a less effective inducer compared to cellulose, and glycerol is a neutral carbon source without inducing effect for cellulase production.


FIGURE 4 Impact of the overexpression of *ctf1* in *T. reesei* RUT-C30 (T1) on cellulase production (a) and the secretion of extracellular proteins (b). The *t*-test was applied for the statistic analysis, and the error bars show standard deviations with the significance of $p < .05$. Three transformants were developed for *ctf1* overexpression, and results for another two transformants (T2 and T3) are shown in Figure S5. Mycelia were cultured at 28°C and 180 rpm in flasks using minimal medium supplemented with 2% cellulose [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

The activities of cellulolytic enzymes were further evaluated for *T. reesei* Δ*ctf1* mutants. When grown on the minimal medium supplemented with 2% cellulose for 5 days, *T. reesei* Δ*ctf1* mutant (T1) displayed 36.9%, 12.1%, 23.7%, and 26.3% higher activities of FPase, CMCase, *p*NPCase, and *p*NPGase than those detected in cellulases produced by *T. reesei* RUT-C30 (Figure 5b–e). On the other hand, the production of

extracellular proteins was also enhanced, although the increase was not significant as that observed for the cellulolytic enzymes (Figure 5f). The growth of the mutants was further characterized through analyzing total intracellular proteins. Although more intracellular proteins were detected at the early stage due to biosynthesis and intracellular accumulation of cellulases, their content was relatively constant at the late stage with the


FIGURE 5 Impact on cellulase production by mutants of *T. reesei* RUT-C30 with the deletion of *ctf1* (Δ*ctf1*-T1) and the complementation of *ctf1* to the deletion mutant (*ctf1-rec*-T1) on plates supplemented with carbon source at 2% and incubated at 28°C for 3 days (a) and cultured in flasks using minimal medium supplemented with 2% cellulose at 28°C and 180 rpm (b–g). The *t*-test was applied for the statistic analysis, and the error bars are standard deviations with the significance of $p < .05$. The experimental results for another transformant Δ*ctf1*-T2 and its complementation mutant are shown in Figure S7 [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

excretion of those cellulolytic enzymes, and no significant difference was observed between the mutants and *T. reesei* RUT-C30 (Figure 5e). These experimental results indicate that *ctf1* might negatively regulate cellulase biosynthesis in *T. reesei*. Similar experimental results were also observed for another *ctf1* deletion and complementation mutants (*T. reesei* Δ*ctf1*-T2 and *ctf1-rec*-T2), which are shown in Figure S7.

Hydrolysis of corn stover was performed using raw cellulases produced by *T. reesei* Δ*ctf1*-T1 to quantitatively evaluate the impact of *ctf1* deletion on the production of other components associated with cellulose hydrolysis, and experimental results indicated that cellulases produced by *T. reesei* Δ*ctf1* hydrolyzed alkali-pretreated corn stover more effectively with more glucose released (Figure S8).

3.4 | Transcriptional regulation of CTF1 on gene expression

To determine molecular mechanism underlying the improvement on cellulase production observed in *T. reesei* Δ*ctf1* mutants, we assessed the genome-wide expression through RNA-seq for

T. reesei Δ*ctf1* and RUT-C30 grown vigorously at 24 hr, and screened DEGs based on the threshold: $-1 \geq \text{Log}_2 R \geq 1$. In total, 646 genes were differentially expressed, in which 377 were down-regulated, and 269 were upregulated. These DEGs were subjected to gene ontology enrichment analysis, which were enriched mainly into pathways for the metabolism of carbohydrates, amino acids, and lipids as well as transport and catabolism (Figure S9). More-over, 28 genes encoding transcription factors were identified and highlighted in Table 1, including TrireRUTC30:125610 encoding the transcription factor VIB1 (Zhang et al., 2018), TrireR-UTC30:98455, and TrireRUTC30:6520 encoding transcriptional activator ACE III and RCE 1 for the expression of cellulolytic en-zyme genes (Cao et al., 2017; Häkkinen et al., 2014). Meanwhile, totally 25 genes encoding carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes) were significantly upregulated, including seven major cellulolytic enzyme genes and nine major hemicellulase genes (Figure 6a). In addition to cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic enzymes, other en-zymes from glycoside hydrolase (GH) families also contribute to cellulose hydrolysis, and their transcription was further evaluated (Figure 6b). As can be seen, the deletion of *ctf1* resulted in a

TABLE 1 Differential expression of genes encoding major transcriptional factors in *T. reesei* Δ*ctf1*

	Protein ID	Description	Log$_2$R[a]
Transcription factors	93861	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	4.18
	125610	**Transcription activator *Trvib1***	**1.54**
	23706	***cre1* with C$_2$H$_2$-type zinc finger**	**1.19**
	98455	ACE3, activator of cellulases production	1.08
	141745	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.08
	89588	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.15
	58034	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.22
	37062	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.26
	70536	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.27
	135893	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.30
	105117	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.31
	76382	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.34
	7117	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.41
	109343	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.43
	85090	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.54
	75948	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.54
	141272	C$_2$H$_2$-type zinc finger	−1.58
	6520	**Repressor of cellulase expression *rce1***	**−1.63**
	39977	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.67
	76649	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.68
	69545	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.70
	77124	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.84
	128051	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.87
	93160	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.88
	39947	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−1.95
	134352	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−2.02
	103141	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−2.18
	103763	Fungal transcriptional regulatory protein	−2.27

[a]R: ratio of the transcription of genes in *T. reesei* Δ*ctf1* over that in *T. reesei* RUT-C30.

FIGURE 6 Expression profiles of genes encoding carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes) revealed by the RNA-seq analysis. Heatmap visualization of expression data on known genes encoding cellulases and hemicellulases in *T. reesei* Δ*ctf1* and RUT-C30 (a), and fragments per kilobase of exon per million fragments (FPKM) mapped for each glycolic hydrolase (GH) family (b). CAZymes classification was performed based on the annotation of the CAZymes genes of *T. reesei* (Häkkinen et al., 2012). Mycelia were cultured at 28°C and 180 rpm in flasks using minimal medium supplemented with 2% cellulose, and sampled at 24 hr for the transcriptional analysis [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

significant induction of CBH I and CBH II as well as EG I and EG II with the GH6 and GH7 families.

3.5 | Verification for the regulation of CTF1 on cellulase production by *T. reesei*

For mutants with *ctf1* deleted, the expression of *vib1* and *ace3* was significantly upregulated, but the expression of *rce1* was downregulated. Therefore, we speculated direct interactions between *ctf1* and these transcription factors, and in vitro EMSA was performed to validate such a speculation. Bands were observed in the EMSA analysis when CTF1$_{1-120}$ was mixed with the cy5-labeled DNA probes corresponding to the promoter region of *vib1*, *rce1*, and *ace3*, and the binding strength increased as the concentration of those proteins was increased from 0.1 to 0.6 μM (Figure 7). Therefore, we confirmed that CTF1 mediates cellulase production by *T. reesei* RUT-C30 indirectly through binding with the promoter region of *vib1*, *rce1*, and *ace3*.

4 | DISCUSSION

Engineering transcription factors for global perturbation has been developed to alter phenotypes for robust production of different products (Alper, Moxley, Nevoigt, Fink, & Stephanopoulos, 2006; Kwon et al., 2006; Santos & Stephanopoulos, 2008). In this study, *T. reesei* M2 with improved cellulase production was developed by the AZFP transformation, and CTF1 was discovered to repress

cellulase production by *T. reesei*, which was verified through the impact on cellulase production by engineering *T. reesei* RUT-C30 with the deletion and overexpression of *ctf1*, respectively. The RNA-seq analysis demonstrated that CTF1 might exert negative regulation on cellulase production through modulating the expression of transcription factors regulating the expression of genes related to cellulase production by *T. reesei*.

The deletion of *ctf1* from *T. reesei* RUT-C30 led to a significant increase in the transcription of *vib1*, *ace3*, and *cre1*, but a considerably decrease in the transcription of *rce1*. As a regulator of CCR, CRE1 regulates the expression of transcription factors such as XYR1 to control the expression of genes encoding cellulolytic enzymes in *T. reesei* (Portnoy et al., 2011). *T. reesei* RUT-C30 was developed through mutagenesis, and its *cre1* was partially truncated, but the remaining *cre1* in *T. reesei* RUT-C30 can be transcribed into mRNA to synthesize a truncated CRE1, which consequently exerts positive regulation on the expression of genes encoding cellulolytic enzymes (Mello-de-Sousa et al., 2014). Recently, the transcription factor VIB1 was identified in *Neurospora crassa*, which not only functions to repress CRE1-mediated CCR and glucose sensing and metabolism, but also indirectly regulate the expression of hydrolytic enzyme genes (Xiong, Sun, & Glass, 2014). It was found that *vib1* deletion had a negative effect on cellulase production by *T. reesei* (Ivanova et al., 2017), and our recent study indicated that cellulase production could be improved by the overexpreesion of *vib1* (Zhang et al., 2018). Therefore, CTF1 might exert impact on CRE1 indirectly through the mediation of VIB1, since no evidence is available so far for their direct interactions.

FIGURE 7 Verification for the binding of CTF1 to the promoters of *vib1* (a), *ace3* (b and c), and *rce1* (d) through electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA). The EMSA was performed by incubating cy5-labeled fragments vib1-p3 (−456 to −855), ace3-p2 (−130 to −545), ace3-p3 (−473 to −876) and rce1-p4 (−1078 to −1500) with the GST tag fused Zn(II)2Cys6 domain of CTF1 (1-120 aa) expressed in *E. coli* and purified by GST affinity chromatography. For each EMSA, 10 ng cy5-labeled fragments and the recombinant GST-CTF1$_{1\text{-}120}$ at a gradient concentration of 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, and 0.6 μM were mixed and incubated for 20 min at room temperature for the analysis. EMSA with the tag (GST) was used as the control

The open reading frame of *ctf1* encodes a protein that is composed of 842 amino acid residues. The online software Motif Scan (http://myhits.isb-sib.ch/cgi-bin/motif_scan) from the Pfam database predicted two major functional domains in CTF1, which include a Zn(II)2Cys6 binuclear cluster for DNA binding at site R61-K100 with a confidence of 2.2×10^{-13} and a specific transcription factor domain at site R340-D434 with a confidence of 1.5×10^{-32}. Our in vitro binding experiment showed that CTF1 DNA binding domains did not bind to those genes encoding major cellulolytic enzymes such as *cbh1*, *cbh2*, *egl1*, and *egl2* (data not shown), but they specifically bound to *vib1*, *ace3*, and *rce1*, indicating that CTF1 directly regulates the expression of genes encoding transcription factors, and consequently mediates cellulase biosynthesis by *T. reesei* indirectly. These findings led to the development of a mechanistic model for the role of *ctf1* in cellulase production by *T. reesei*, which is highlighted in Figure 8.

Analysis of *T. reesei* genome database revealed that 66 genes are known or have been predicted for encoding cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic enzymes (Häkkinen et al., 2012). Comparative transcriptomic analysis showed that the expression of genes encoding major cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic enzymes was significantly upregulated in *T. reesei* Δ*ctf1* mutants, especially CBH I/Cel7A, CBH II/Cel6A, EGI/Cel7B, and EGII/Cel5A, which constitute more than 60% of total extracellular proteins produced by *T. reesei* (Pakula et al., 2016). These experimental results further supported our previous speculation on the role of CTF1 in cellulase production by *T. reesei*. It was further noted that the expression of genes encoding major accessory enzymes such as CIP1 and glycoside hydrolase family AA9 proteins Cel61a and Cel61b were strongly induced in *T. reesei* Δ*ctf1* mutants, and these accessory enzymes

could modify the crystalline structure of cellulose to enhance its accessibility to cellulolytic enzymes for more efficient hydrolysis (Arantes & Saddler, 2010).

Our previous studies indicated that cellulase production was improved when *T. reesei* RUT-C30 was engineered by AZFP-U3, and the mutant showed 55% increase in FPase due to improved *p*NPGase (Zhang et al., 2016), but the improvement of cellulase production in *T. reesei* M2 engineered by AZFP-M2 was mainly due to improved CMCase activity, indicating that AZFP-related transcription factors


FIGURE 8 Mechanistic model for the regulation of CTF1 on cellulase production by *T. reesei*. CTF1 could act as a negative regulator for the transcription of genes encoding transcription factors that further regulate the expression of genes encoding cellulolytic enzymes, and on the other hand the deletion of *ctf1* might activate the expression of the transcription factors ACE3 and VIB1, but repress the expression of the transcription factor RCE1 [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

could play different roles in regulating the expression of genes associated with cellulase production in *T. reesei*.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

The mutant *T. reesei* M2 was developed from *T. reesei* RUT-C30 by AZFP engineering. Analysis for the putative target genes of the AZFP identified the function of the putative gene *ctf1*, which encodes a novel transcription factor, and its deletion led to improved cellulase production by *T. reesei*. Comparative transcriptomic analysis suggested that *ctf1* might function through its interactions with multiple regulators. Our results from this study highlight the significance of such a strategy for developing robust strains for more efficient cellulase production as well as for elucidating functions of unknown genes in the genome of *T. reesei* for strain development through rational design.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS
The authors declare that there are no conflict of interests.

ORCID
Feng-Wu Bai 🔟 http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1431-4839

REFERENCES
Aghcheh, R. K., Nemeth, Z., Atanasova, L., Fekete, E., Paholcsek, M., Sandor, E., … Kubicek, C. P. (2014). The VELVET a orthologue VEL1 of *Trichoderma reesei* regulates fungal development and is essential for cellulase gene expression. *PLoS One*, 9(11), e112799. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112799

Alper, H., Moxley, J., Nevoigt, E., Fink, G. R., & Stephanopoulos, G. (2006). Engineering yeast transcription machinery for improved ethanol tolerance and production. *Science*, 314(5805), 1565–1568. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1131969

Amore, A., Giacobbe, S., & Faraco, V. (2013). Regulation of cellulase and hemicellulase gene expression in fungi. *Current Genomics*, 14(4), 230–249. https://doi.org/10.2174/1389202911314040002

Arantes, V., & Saddler, J. N. (2010). Access to cellulose limits the efficiency of enzymatic hydrolysis: The role of amorphogenesis. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 3, 4. https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-3-4

Aro, N., Ilmen, M., Saloheimo, A., & Penttila, M. (2003). ACEI of *Trichoderma reesei* is a repressor of cellulase and xylanase expression. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 69(1), 56–65. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.69.1.56-65.2003

Benjamini, Y., Drai, D., Elmer, G., Kafkafi, N., & Golani, I. (2001). Controlling the false discovery rate in behavior genetics research. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 125(1-2), 279–284. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0166-4328(01)00297-2

Bischof, R. H., Ramoni, J., & Seiboth, B. (2016). Cellulases and beyond: The first 70 years of the enzyme producer *Trichoderma reesei*. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 15(1), 106. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-016-0507-6

Cao, Y. L., Zheng, F. L., Wang, L., Zhao, G. L., Chen, G. J., Zhang, W. X., & Liu, W. F. (2017). Rce1, a novel transcriptional repressor, regulates cellulase gene expression by antagonizing the transactivator Xyr1 in *Trichoderma reesei*. *Molecular Microbiology*, 105(1), 65–83. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13685

Chen, Y. M., Shen, Y. L., Wang, W., & Wei, D. Z. (2018). Mn^{2+} modulates the expression of cellulase genes in *Trichoderma reesei* Rut-C30 via calcium signaling. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 11, 54. https://doi.org/.org/10.1186/s13068-018-1055-6

Crom, S. L., Schackwitz, W., Pennacchio, L., Magnuson, J. K., Culley, D. E., Coll, J. R., … Margeot, A. (2009). Tracking the roots of cellulase hyperproduction by the fungus *Trichoderma reesei* using massively parallel DNA sequencing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 104, 10000–10005. https://doi.org/10.1073_pnas.0905848106

Daystar, J., Venditti, R., & Kelley, S. S. (2017). Dynamic greenhouse gas accounting for cellulosic biofuels: Implications of time based methodology decisions. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 22(5), 812–826. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-016-1184-8

Druzhinina, I. S., & Kubicek, C. P. (2017). Genetic engineering of *Trichoderma reesei* cellulases and their production. *Microbial Biotechnology*, 10(6), 1485–1499. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12726

Fedotova, A. A., Bonchuk, A. N., Mogila, V. A., & Georgiev, P. G. (2017). C_2H_2 zinc finger proteins: The largest but poorly explored family of higher eukaryotic transcription factors. *Acta Nature*, 9(2), 47–58.

Gabelle, J. C., Jourdier, E., Licht, R. B., Chaabane, F. B., Henaut, I., Morchain, J., & Augier, F. (2012). Impact of rheology on the mass transfer coefficient during the growth phase of *Trichoderma reesei* in stirred bioreactors. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 75, 408–417. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2012.03.053

Gao, L., Li, Z., Xia, C., Qu, Y., Liu, M., Yang, P., … Song, X. (2017). Combining manipulation of transcription factors and overexpression of the target genes to enhance lignocellulolytic enzyme production in *Penicillium oxalicum*. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 10, 100. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-017-0783-3

Häkkinen, M., Arvas, M., Oja, M., Aro, N., Penttila, M., Saloheimo, M., & Pakula, T. M. (2012). Re-annotation of the CAZy genes of *Trichoderma reesei* and transcription in the presence of lignocellulosic substrates. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 11(1), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-11-134

Häkkinen, M., Valkonen, M. J., Westerholm-Parvinen, A., Aro, N., Arvas, M., Vitikainen, M., … Pakula, T. M. (2014). Screening of candidate regulators for cellulase and hemicellulase production in *Trichoderma reesei* and identification of a factor essential for cellulase production. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 7(1), 14. https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-7-14

Himmel, M. E., Ding, S. Y., Johnson, D. K., Adney, W. S., Nimlos, M. R., Brady, J. W., & Foust, T. D. (2007). Biomass recalcitrance: Engineering plants and enzymes for biofuels production. *Science*, 315(5813), 804–807. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1137016

Ivanova, C., Ramoni, J., Aouam, T., Frischmann, A., Seiboth, B., Baker, S. E., … Bidard, F. (2017). Genome sequencing and transcriptome analysis of Trichoderma reesei QM9978 strain reveals a distal chromosome translocation to be responsible for loss of vib1 expression and loss of cellulase induction. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 10(1), 209. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-017-0897-7

Kim, D., Landmead, B., & Salzberg, S. L. (2015). HISAT: A fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. *Nature Methods*, 12(4), 357–360. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3317

Klein-Marcuschamer, D., Oleskowicz-Popiel, P., Simmons, B. A., & Blanch, H. W. (2012). The challenge of enzyme cost in the production of lignocellulosic biofuels. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 109(4), 1083–1087. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.24370

Kwon, R. J., Kim, S., Lee, S. I., Hwang, S. J., Lee, G., Kim, J. S., & Seol, W. (2006). Artificial transcription factors increase production of recombinant antibodies in Chinese hamster ovary cells. *Biotechnology Letters*, *28*(1), 9–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-005-4680-7

Laity, J. H., Lee, B. M., & Wright, P. E. (2001). Zinc finger proteins: New insights into structural and functional diversity. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, *11*, 39–46.

Li, X., Wang, J., Wang, S., Xing, M., Yu, S. W., & Liu, G. (2012). Achieving efficient protein expression in *Trichoderma reesei* by using strong constitutive promoters. *Microbial Cell Factories*, *11*, 84. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-11-84

Li, Z. H., Du, C. M., Zhong, Y. H., & Wang, T. H. (2010). Development of a highly efficient gene targeting system allowing rapid genetic manipulations in *Penicillium decumbens*. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *87*(3), 1065–1076. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-010-2566-7

Liu, K., Dong, Y., Wang, F., Jiang, B., Wang, M., & Fang, X. (2016). Regulation of cellulase expression, sporulation, and morphogenesis by velvet family proteins in *Trichoderma reesei*. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *100*(2), 769–779. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-7059-2

Liu, Y. G., & Chen, Y. (2007). High-efficiency thermal asymmetric interlaced PCR for amplification of unknown flanking sequences. *Biotechniques*, *43*(5), 649–650. https://doi.org/10.2144/000112601

Livak, K. J., & Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) method. *Methods*, *25*(4), 402–408. https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262

Martinez, D., Berka, R. M., Henrissat, B., Saloheimo, M., Arvas, M., Baker, S. E., ... Brettin, T. S. (2008). Genome sequencing and analysis of the biomass-degrading fungus *Trichoderma reesei* (syn. *Hypocrea jecorina*). *Nature Biotechnology*, *26*(5), 553–560. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1403

Mello-de-Sousa, T. M., Gorsche, R., Rassinger, A., Pocas-Fonseca, M. J., Mach, R. L., & Mach-Aigner, A. R. (2014). A truncated form of the carbon catabolite repressor 1 increases cellulase production in *Trichoderma reesei*. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, *7*(1), 129. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-014-0129-3

Michielse, C. B., Hooykaas, P. J., van den Hondel, C. A., & Ram, A. F. (2008). Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of the filamentous fungus *Aspergillus awamori*. *Nature Protocols*, *3*(10), 1671–1678. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2008.154

Nakayashiki, H., Hanada, S., Nguyen, B. Q., Kadotani, N., Tosa, Y., & Mayama, S. (2005). RNA silencing as a tool for exploring gene function in ascomycete fungi. *Fungal Genetics and Biology*, *42*(4), 275–283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2005.01.002

Nanda, S., Azargohar, R., Dalai, A. K., & Kozinski, J. A. (2015). An assessment on the sustainability of lignocellulosic biomass for biorefining. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *50*, 925–941. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.05.058

Pakula, T. M., Nygren, H., Barth, D., Heinonen, M., Castillo, S., Penttila, M., & Arvas, M. (2016). Genome wide analysis of protein production load in *Trichoderma reesei*. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, *9*(1), 132. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-016-0547-5

Park, K. S., Lee, D. K., Lee, H., Lee, Y., Jang, Y. S., Kim, Y. H., ... Kim, J. S. (2003). Phenotypic alteration of eukaryotic cells using randomized libraries of artificial transcription factors. *Nature Biotechnology*, *21*(10), 1208–1214. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt868

Portnoy, T., Margeot, A., Seidl-Seiboth, V., Le Crom, S., Ben Chaabane, F., Linke, R., ... Kubicek, C. P. (2011). Differential regulation of the cellulase transcription factors XYR1, ACE2, and ACE1 in *Trichoderma*

reesei strains producing high and low levels of cellulase. *Eukaryotic Cell*, *10*(2), 262–271. https://doi.org/10.1128/EC.00208-10

Ruscher, K., Reuter, M., Kupper, D., Trendelenburg, G., Dirnagl, U., & Meisel, A. (2000). A fluorescence based non-radioactive electrophoretic mobility shift assay. *Journal of Biotechnology*, *78*(2), 163–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1656(00)00207-8

Santos, C. N., & Stephanopoulos, G. (2008). Combinatorial engineering of microbes for optimizing cellular phenotype. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, *12*(2), 168–176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2008.01.017

Seiboth, B., Karimi, R. A., Phatale, P. A., Linke, R., Hartl, L., Sauer, D. G., ... Kubicek, C. P. (2012). The putative protein methyltransferase LAE1 controls cellulase gene expression in *Trichoderma reesei*. *Molecular Microbiology*, *84*(6), 1150–1164. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08083.x

Seidl, V., Gamauf, C., Druzhinina, I. S., Seiboth, B., Hartl, B., & Kubicek, C. P. (2008). The *Hypocrea jecorina* (*Trichoderma reesei*) hypercellulolytic mutant RUT C30 lacks a 85 kb (29 gene-encoding) region of the wild-type genome. *BMC Genomics*, *9*, 327. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-327

Shida, Y., Furukawa, T., & Ogasawara, W. (2016). Deciphering the molecular mechanisms behind cellulase production in *Trichoderma reesei*, the hyper-cellulolytic filamentous fungus. *Biosicience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, *80*(9), 1712–1729. https://doi.org/10.1080/09168451.2016.1171701

Steiger, M. G., Mach, R. L., & Mach-Aigner, A. R. (2010). An accurate normalization strategy for RT-qPCR in *Hypocrea jecorina* (*Trichoderma reesei*). *Journal of Biotechnology*, *145*(1), 30–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2009.10.012

Strauss, J., Mach, R. L., Zeilinger, S., Hartler, G., Stöffler, G., Wolschek, M., & Kubicek, C. P. (1995). Crel, the carbon catabolite repressor protein from *Trichoderma reesei*. *FEBS Letters*, *376*(1), 103–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(95)01255-5

Stricker, A. R., Grosstessner-Hain, K., Wurleitner, E., & Mach, R. L. (2006). Xyr1 (xylanase regulator 1) regulates both the hydrolytic enzyme system and D-xylose metabolism in *Hypocrea jecorina*. *Eukaryotic Cell*, *5*(12), 2128–2137. https://doi.org/10.1128/EC.00211-06

Wang, H., Li, Z., Jia, R., Hou, Y., Yin, J., Bain, X., ... Zhang, Y. (2016). RecET direct cloning and Red*αβ* recombineering of biosynthetic gene clusters, large operons or single genes for heterologous expression. *Nature Protocols*, *11*(7), 1175–1190. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2016.054

Wang, M. Y., Zhang, M. L., Li, L., Dong, Y. M., Jiang, Y., Liu, K. M., ... Fang, X. (2017). Role of *Trichoderma reesei* mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) in cellulase formation. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, *10*, 99. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-017-0789-x

Wood, T. M., & Bhat, K. M. (1988). Methods for measuring cellulase activities. *Methods in Enzymology*, *160*(1), 87–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/0076-6879(88)60109-1

Xiong, Y., Sun, J., & Glass, N. L. (2014). VIB1, a link between glucose signaling and carbon catabolite repression, is essential for plant cell wall degradation by *Neurospora crassa*. *PLoS Genetics*, *10*(8), e1004500. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004500

Yang, B., Dai, Z. Y., Ding, S. Y., & Wyman, C. E. (2015). Enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulosic biomass. *Biofuels*, *2*(4), 421–450. https://doi.org/10.4155/bfs.11.116

Zhang, F., Bai, F., & Zhao, X. (2016). Enhanced cellulase production from *Trichoderma reesei* Rut-C30 by engineering with an artificial zinc finger protein library. *Biotechnology Journal*, *11*(10), 1282–1290. https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.201600227

Zhang, F., Zhao, X. Q., & Bai, F. W. (2018). Improvement of cellulase production in *Trichoderma reesei* Rut-C30 by overexpression of a novel regulatory gene Trvib-1. *Bioresource Technology*, *247*, 676–683. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.09.126

Zhong, Y. H., Wang, X. L., Wang, T. H., & Jiang, Q. (2007). Agrobacterium-mediated transformation (AMT) of *Trichoderma reesei* as an efficient tool for random insertional mutagenesis. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *73*(6), 1348–1354. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-006-0603-3

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.