

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Science of the Total Environment



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/scitotenv

Using adaptive and aggressive N₂O-reducing bacteria to augment digestate fertilizer for mitigating N₂O emissions from agricultural soils

Check for updates

Xinhui Wang^a, Baoyu Xiang^a, Ji Li^a, Menghui Zhang^a, Asa Frostegard^b, Lars Bakken^b, Xiaojun Zhang^{a,*}

^a State Key Laboratory of Microbial Metabolism, and Joint International Research Laboratory of Metabolic & Developmental Sciences, and School of Life Sciences & Biotechnology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China
^b Faculty of Chemistry, Biotechnology and Food Science, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, N-1432 Aas, Norway

HIGHLIGHTS

G R A P H I C A L A B S T R A C T

- Diverse N₂O-reducing bacteria exist in the anaerobic digestate.
- Dual enrichment can enrich the digestate-soil generalists.
- Adaptive and aggressive N₂O-reducing bacteria were enriched and isolated.
- *nosZ* clade II isolates are more promising for mitigating N₂O emissions.
- \bullet The $N_2O\mbox{-}reducing bacteria augmented digestate can reduce <math display="inline">N_2O$ emissions from soil.

Editor: Jay Gan

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: nosZ gene Nitrous oxide emission Digestate Farmland soil N₂O reduction



ABSTRACT

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) emitted from agricultural soils destroys stratospheric ozone and contributes to global warming. A promising approach to reduce emissions is fertilizing the soil using organic wastes augmented by non-denitrifying N₂O-reducing bacteria (NNRB). To realize this potential, we need a suite of NNRB strains that fulfill several criteria: efficient reduction of N₂O, ability to grow in organic waste, and ability to survive in farmland soil. In this study, we enriched such organisms by sequential anaerobic batch incubations with N₂O and reciprocating inoculation between the sterilized substrates of anaerobic manure digestate and soils. 16S rDNA amplicon sequencing and metagenomics analysis showed that a cluster of bacteria containing *nosZ* genes encoding N₂O-reductase, was enriched during the incubation process. Strains of several dominant members were then isolated and characterized, and three of them were found to harbor the *nosZ* gene but none of the other denitrifying genes, thus qualifying as NNRB. The selected isolates were tested for their capacities to reduce N₂O emissions from three different typical Chinese farmland soils. The results indicated the significant mitigation effect of these isolates, even in very acidic red soil. In conclusion, this study demonstrated a strategy to engineer the soil microbiome with promising NNRB with high adaptability to livestock manure digestate as well as

* Corresponding author at: State Key Laboratory of Microbial Metabolism, School of Life Sciences & Biotechnology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 20040, China.

E-mail address: xjzhang68@sjtu.edu.cn (X. Zhang).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.166284

Received 2 June 2023; Received in revised form 9 August 2023; Accepted 11 August 2023 Available online 14 August 2023 0048-9697/© 2023 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

According to IPCC, constraining global warming to no >1.5 °C above pre-industrial levels must be pursued (IPCC, 2018) by reducing the anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases to the atmosphere. Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is a potent and long-lasting greenhouse gas with a stronger global warming potential than that of carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) (Montzka et al., 2011; Pulles and van Amstel, 2010). Soil is a major source of N₂O emissions, including both natural soils and agricultural soils (Tian et al., 2020). With the continuous growth of the global population and intensive land use, the rapidly increasing amount of nitrogen fertilizers in agriculture has significantly increased N₂O emissions (Bahram et al., 2022; Davidson and Kanter, 2014).

Among the pathways involved in the turnover of N₂O in soils, microbial nitrification and denitrification are the most important (Sanchez and Minamisawa, 2019). Nitrification is a net source of N₂O, which releases N₂O as a byproduct by oxidizing ammonium to nitrite (Stein, 2020), while denitrification is both a source and sink for N₂O depending on the balance of its production and reduction. The mitigation of N₂O emissions from agricultural soil could be based on reducing the production of N₂O or promoting the reduction of N₂O to N₂. Various agronomic measures have been proposed to reduce the emission of N2O from agricultural soils, such as improving the nitrogen use efficiency of the system (Hassan et al., 2022), enhancing the expression of functional N₂O-reductase by increasing soil pH (liming or application of biochar) (Aamer et al., 2020; He et al., 2019; Shaaban et al., 2020; Vazquez et al., 2020), or inhibiting nitrification (Das et al., 2022; Lin and Hernandez-Ramirez, 2020). None of these measures are likely to substantially reduce emissions; however, inconsistent effects have been observed (Liu et al., 2020; Scheer et al., 2011; Yanai et al., 2007). Except for the inhibition of N₂O production via nitrification inhibitors or optimized fertilization, the synthesis of nitrous oxide reductase (Nos) in the soil microbial community is of utmost importance because it is the only known biological sink of N₂O in the biosphere (Bakken and Frostegard, 2017; Hu et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2022). The effective synthesis of Nos in bacteria containing the nosZ gene would be beneficial to the reduction of N₂O in the soil.

The application of functional microorganisms to reduce N₂O emissions from soil has been reported in several studies, in which different N2-generating denitrifier strains were successfully used to mitigate N2O emissions from soil (Akiyama et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2016; Nishizawa et al., 2014; Obando et al., 2019). When aggressive N₂O reducers were artificially augmented in the soil microbial community, N2O emissions were greatly reduced without sacrificing fertilizer inputs (Bakken and Frostegard, 2020; Henault et al., 2022). To date, it has been proven that inoculation of soils with N2O-reducing bacteria can reduce N2O emissions, but this is not feasible in agricultural practice due to the high cost of requiring large amounts of inoculants. Jonassen et al. reported an interesting low-cost alternative by using organic wastes as substrates and vectors for enriching and carrying nitrous oxide-reducing bacteria (NRB) (Jonassen et al., 2022a). Anaerobic digestion is a microbialmediated process and is widely applied in organic waste treatment coupled with bioenergy production (Kim et al., 2021). The residual digestates contain nutrients and are destined for agricultural soils as fertilizers (Moller and Muller, 2012). The latest research has shown that it is feasible to reduce N2O emissions from soil by fertilizing with digestate in which N₂O-reducing bacteria have been cultured, and this method has broad application prospects (Jonassen et al., 2022a). To make this technology more practical, an urgent task is to identify more suitable bacteria that can grow to high cell densities in digestates, survive for a minimum of time after being transferred to soil, and, more

importantly, are effective in reducing N₂O.

Microorganisms lacking all denitrification genes except the nosZ gene (known as non-denitrifying N2O-reducing bacteria (NNRB)) are more attractive than organisms with a full-fledged denitrification pathway because they will not generate N2O and thus can act as a net sink of N₂O, which would be more promising for bioaugmentation in reducing N₂O emissions but with less nitrogen loss from soil. The first attempts to achieve this goal by enrichment culturing (anoxic with N2O) in digestates were only partly successful (Jonassen et al., 2022a). Although the isolated organisms included strains that were strong sinks for N₂O due to regulatory traits, they were all equipped with a fullfledged denitrification pathway and were more efficiently growing in the digestate rather than the soil. Therefore, they are not suitable for soil inoculation due to the nitrogen loss they may cause and their poor growth in the soil. In subsequent experiments, a dual enrichment procedure was developed, switching between sterilized digestate and sterilized soil as substrate, and this resulted in the isolation of promising strains that lacked all denitrification genes other than nosZ (Jonassen et al., 2022b). This proof of concept warrants more research using dual enrichment to isolate more powerful N2O 'sink' organisms as candidates for bioaugmentation that grow well in digestate and adapt to soils.

In the present study, we used the digestate from the fermentation of wastes of livestock that was different from the municipal wastewater digestate used by Jonassen et al. (2022a&b). The livestock digestate could more easily meet the needs of food safety than the wastewater digestate due to the potential harmful pollutants contained in the latter. By using multiple soils, we successfully enriched and screened out the N₂O-reducing bacteria that are better adapted to the different farmland soils. During the study, both high-throughput sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene and metagenomic sequencing were used to uncover the active microbial populations that may be responsible for N₂O reduction and to find target bacteria for isolation. This study is a step forward from the study of Jonassen et al. (2022a&b) and not only obtained aggressive and adaptive bacteria for N₂O sinks but also provides a real simulation for actual agricultural soil N2O emission reduction. This study could promote the application of a novel strategy to manipulate the soil microbiome to mitigate climate forcing.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample collection

The digestate was taken from a tank of anaerobic digestion (Supplementary Methods 1 for further details). Four typical farmland soils were collected from different regions of China with significant differences in pH and the types of crops cultivated (Supplementary Methods 2). The pH of each soil was measured in a slurry with distilled water (2.5 mL/g dry soil) (Table 1).

2.2. Dual enrichment experiment

We followed the same scheme (Jonassen et al., 2022b) for dual

Table 1

pH of different raw materials.	
--------------------------------	--

	Substrates used in this study							
	Digestate (DG)	Fluvo- aquic soil (FS)	Black soil (BS)	Red soil A (RSA)	Red soil B (RSB)	1:1 mixture of FS and RSA (FRS)		
pН	7.2	8.4	6.2	5.5	4.8	7.4		

enrichment to enrich and isolate N_2O -reducing organisms that can grow both in digestate and soil environments, but with different soils, combinations of soils and more agronomically relevant digestates (manure derived). Enrichment microcosms were established in 120 mL serum vials filled with 30 mL of newly collected digestate. The headspace gas was replaced with helium to establish anoxic conditions. Then, 5 mL of N_2O was added to the vials. The reduction of N_2O and the production of N_2 were continuously monitored during the incubation at 25 °C by a robotized incubation system (Molstad et al., 2007).

After 275 h of enrichment incubation with continuous N₂O addition, 2 mL of enriched digestate was inoculated into 20 g gamma-ray sterilized soils (Fig. 1). Sterilized water was then used to adjust the water content to 30 % (*w*/w), and the vials were incubated for 7 days at 25 °C, supplemented with 5 mL N₂O once a day. Then, 3 g of the cultured soil was transferred to 30 mL of sterilized digestate, and incubated anaerobically for 7 days with daily injections of N₂O. The culture was transferred back and forth between the soil and digestate for four rotations, for a total of eight sequential batch cultures for enrichment. The addition of N₂O was reduced in the 7th and 8th batch cultures, during which N₂O was injected into the vials every second day. The reciprocal inoculations between sterile soil and digestate were performed in four parallel series. These four series used different soils or mixtures as substrates. In detail, the DR series used red soil A; the DF series used fluvo-aquic soil; the DM series used a soil mixture of red soil A and fluvoaquic soil; and the DRF series used red soil A and fluvo-aquic soil alternatingly, with cyclic inoculation from red soil A to digestate then to fluvo-aquic soil.

2.3. Sequencing of 16S rRNA gene amplicon

The V3-V4 regions in the 16S rRNA gene sequencing library was established by two-step PCR amplification according to a modified version of the instructions provided by the manufacturer as previously described with modified primers (Qin et al., 2019). For the bioinformatics and sequencing data analysis, the QIIME2 software (v2018.11) (Bolyen et al., 2019) was used to process and analyze the 16S rRNA gene sequence data (Ghosh et al., 2021). More details about the sequencing are given in Supplementary Methods 3.

2.4. Metagenome sequencing and binning

To gain a better understanding of the microbial community, the samples of fresh digestate (FD), enriched digestate (ED), red soil series (DR-1, DR-2, DR-7, DR-8), fluvo-aquic soil series (DF-1, DF-2, DF-7, DF-8), were selected for shotgun metagenomic sequencing. Sequencing procedure of genomic DNA on Illumina NovaSeq with PE150 strategy and the methods for metagenome binning are described in detail in Supplementary Methods 4.



Fig. 1. Graphical summary of the experimental design and workflow. The fresh digestate was taken from a tank of anaerobic fermentation pig manure. After anaerobic culturing with N_2O , the enriched digestate was then inoculated into sterilized soil in four parallel series using different soils or mixtures of soils , and then transfer back to sterile digestate. After 4 rounds of inoculation and incubation, the samples were performed the 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing and meta-genomics analyses to uncover the key N_2O -reducing bacteria adapted to both substrates. This information was further used for the guided target organism isolation. The well performed isolates for N_2O reduction were characterized by genome sequencing analysis.

2.5. Genome sequencing of Cloacibacterium sp. TD35

The genome of *Cloacibacterium* sp. TD35 was sequenced using a combination of PacBio RS and Illumina sequencing platforms. More details about the sequencing and genome annotation are described in Supplementary Methods 5.

2.6. Isolation and identification of bacteria

Two enriched cultures were spread on 1/2 TSA and 1/2 R2A medium (Supplementary Methods 6), 24 plates of each, in three different dilutions and four replicates for each dilution. All plates were incubated at 30 °C aerobically, and colonies with different morphology were picked every day (up to 7 days), to get the most diverse bacterial isolates as possible. The selected colonies were purified on a new plate of the same type of medium. The amplification of *nirK* and *nirS* was performed as described (Yang et al., 2017). Primer sets nirS cd3A/R3cd (AACGY-SAAGGARACSGG/GASTTCGGRTGSGTCTTGA) and nirK1040/F1aCu (GCCTCGATCAGRTTRTGGTT/ATCATGGTSCTGCCGCG) were used. The primers used for nosZ amplification were nosZ1mod-F/nosZ1mod-R (WCSYTSTTCMTSGAYAGCCAG/ATRTCGAsTSARCTGVKCRTTYTC) for clade I and NosZ-II-F/NosZ-II-R (CTIGGICCIYTKCAYAC/GCIGARCAR-AAITCBGTRC) for clade II (Chee-Sanford et al., 2020). The details of PCR are given in Supplementary Methods 7. ERIC-PCR (Supplementary Methods 8) was used for typing all isolates. The bacterial strains with different ERIC-PCR band profiles were grown in vials with modified 1/2R2A medium and tested for whether they could anaerobically reduce nitrate, nitrite or nitrous oxide.

2.7. Soil microcosm experiment for assessing mitigation of N₂O emissions

300 µL of each selected isolates in pure culture was inoculated and grown in 30 mL of sterilized digestate for two days under anaerobic conditions, respectively. Then, 3 mL of cultured digestate was mixed with 30 g of fluvo-aquic soil, black soil and red soil B. Soil mixed with 3 mL of uncultured sterilized digestate served as the control for the bacterial effect. The water content of the mixture was then adjusted to 30 % (w/w) by sterilized water. All the treatments were conducted with three replicates and incubated under aerobic conditions for one day at 25 °C. Then, 3 mg of NO_3^- and 30 mg of corn stover powder were added to the vials to simulate denitrification. Finally, all the vials were sealed, and the production and reduction of N2O were monitored in a helium atmosphere. To assess the capacity of the strains to survive in soil, aerobic incubation (soil with NRB-enriched digestate) was extended to 30 days, before monitoring N₂O turnover in response to the addition of nitrate and corn stover powder. The N₂O production index (I_{N2O}) was used to assess the effect of different isolates in three soils on N2O emission reduction (Liu et al., 2010; Samad et al., 2016). The formula to calculate the N₂O production index is as follows:

$$IN2O = \int_0^T N2O(t)dt \bigg/ \bigg[\int_0^T N2O(t) + \int_0^T N2(t) \bigg] dt.$$

where the integrals are the area under the curve for N_2O and N_2 production, respectively. In this study, we considered 48 h as T to compare the N_2O reduction ability of each isolate in different soils.

2.8. Statistical analysis

Graphpad Prism (v8.4.2) and R (v4.3.0) were used to perform the statistical analysis. The Shannon indices and permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) tests were undertaken using R package vegan (v2.6–4). Ordinary one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) test was used to compare the statistical significances of the Shannon indices and gene abundances. Microbial compositional variation was calculated

by Bray–Curtis distance metrics and visualized by principal-coordinate analysis (PCoA). The package of pheatmap (v1.0.12) was used to visualize data and construct the heatmaps. The phylogeny trees were constructed by IQ-TREE (v2.2.0.3) (Nguyen et al., 2015) and visualized on iTOL (https://itol.embl.de/).

3. Results

3.1. N₂O reduction in the fresh digestate

To enrich the N₂O-reducing bacteria, the freshly collected digestate was incubated in sealed vials with continuous supplementation of N₂O in the headspace (helium atmosphere). The activities of N₂O-reducing bacteria were confirmed by monitoring N₂O reduction and N₂ production. After 10 rounds of N₂O additions (Fig. 2a), the N₂O reduction rate of the digestate increased gradually from the initial 6.6 µmol N₂O h⁻¹ to a maximum of 25.3 µmol N₂O h⁻¹ during the 275-h incubation (Fig. 2b), and a total of approximately 3045 µmol N₂O was reduced (Fig. 2c).

3.2. Shifts in microbial community structures during dual enrichment

To characterize the population development throughout the dual enrichments, alternating between digestate and soils, samples were taken at the end of each 7-day batch incubation for genomic analysis. In addition, fresh digestate (FD) and N2O-enriched digestate (ED) were also collected in triplicate for genomic analyses. In total, 102 samples were sequenced for the V3-V4 regions of the 16S rRNA gene. A total of 4,265,653 high-quality reads and 3973 amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were obtained, with an average of 41,820 \pm 5323 reads per sample. For metagenomic sequencing, in total, 2.3 billion reads were produced from Illumina NovaSeq sequencing with an average of 77,340,278 reads of 150 bp for each sample (Table S1). All samples had >97 % nucleotides with base accuracy >99 % (Q20) and > 93 % nucleotides with base accuracy >99.9 % (Q30). After quality control, 793.87 Gb of high-quality metagenomic data were generated. For the assembly of contigs, the maximum scaffold length ranged from 165 kb (ED-2) to 1140 kb (DR-1-3) (Table S2).

16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing data (Fig. 3a) showed that the first incubation with N₂O reduced the diversity (ED versus FD) and that the diversity continued to decline throughout the subsequent series of enrichment batches. However, the diversity increased somewhat in the 7th and 8th batches, which received less N₂O than the previous batches. The metagenomic sequencing results showed different patterns (Fig. 3b), in which the Shannon indices increased when cultured with N₂O. Metagenomic sequencing resulted in 34,322 species identified in total, much more than the 3973 ASVs identified by 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing.

The principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) plot based on Bray–Curtis distances from the 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing data showed that the FD and ED samples separated from each other, indicating that anoxic incubation with N₂O addition changed the bacterial community structure in fresh digestate. The samples of the four series at the same step of dual enrichment showed similar microbial community structures. However, the soil and digestate samples were separated into three different clusters on the PCoA plots (Fig. 3c and d), both for 16S rRNA gene amplicons and metagenomes. The results of permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) were in agreement with those of the PCoA in that dual enrichment had a significant impact on the microbial communities (P < 0.001).

The three most abundant microbial phyla were Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes (Fig. S1a). During the enrichment, the abundances of Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes increased to >94 %, whereas the abundance of Firmicutes decreased. Except for a small percentage of Epsilonbacteraeota in the DF series, there were few differences in the microbial composition between the four series, showing the same patterns for microbial shift. The relative abundances of



Fig. 2. Gas kinetics during anaerobic enrichment in fresh digestate. Panel **a** shows the concentration of N_2O in the vials (µmol N vial⁻¹), which was replenished by repeated injections. Panel **b** shows the calculated N_2O reduction rate in fresh digestate. Panel **c** shows the cumulated reduced N_2O during the 275-h enrichment. All the data are expressed as the mean \pm SEM at each timepoint (n = 3).

Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes similarly increased in the metagenomic sequencing results (Fig. S1b). In addition, the abundances of Chloroflexi and Euryarchaeota decreased with N_2O enrichment in both the digestate and soil.

Focusing on the genus level, as shown in Fig. 4a, compared with the fresh digestate, the abundances of Azonexus, Pseudomonas, and Cloacibacterium increased after N2O enrichment, and they maintained higher abundances in all samples from step 1 to step 8. Macellibacteroides and a genus of Rikenellaceae maintained relatively stable abundances. However, Azonexus had a high abundance in the digestate, and its abundance decreased after being transferred to the soil, indicating that it was a digestate specialist. In contrast, Pseudomonas and Cloacibacterium were more adaptive to the soil. The heatmap of the top 50 genera resulting from metagenomic sequencing further revealed shifts in the microbial community (Fig. 4b). Based on the dynamic change in the relative abundances of these genera across all samples, they were clustered into five clades. The genera in clade I had high abundances in the fresh digestate, but they were diluted out from the cultures after transfer to soil. Clade II included the digestate specialists with only high abundances in the digestate samples. In contrast, clade III contained the soil specialists. Clade IV and clade V members seemed to adapt well to both soil and digestate, although they were enriched differently in these two substrates.

The shifts in the abundances of most ASVs showed similar trends in the four different treatments. For example, ASV_1, ASV_4 and ASV_8, belonging to *Azonexus*, had higher abundances in digestate than in soil. In contrast, ASV_3 and ASV_5 belonging to *Pseudomonas*, had higher abundances in soil than in digestate (Fig. S1c). From the view of identified species by metagenomic sequencing analysis, the abundance of *Cloacibacterium normanense* was significantly increased, especially, in the soil samples where its abundance reached 15 % or more (Fig. S1d). During enrichment, alternately incubated in soil and digestate, the microorganisms related to fermentation and methanogenesis were gradually diluted out, such as *Brevefilum fermentans* and *Methanothrix soehngenii* (Jetten et al., 1992; Mcllroy et al., 2017), which were the two species with the highest abundances in the original digestate collected from an anaerobic fermentation tank (Fig. S1d).

3.3. Shift in functional genes during dual enrichment

The metagenomic sequencing data analysis indicated that N_2O enrichment increased the diversity of identified KOs (KEGG Orthologues) (Fig. 5a). Additionally, the diversities of soil samples were higher than those of digestate samples. However, the carbohydrate-active enzyme gene diversities in the digestate were higher than that in the soil according to the results obtained using the CAZy database

(Fig. 5b). The KEGG annotation indicated that the transcripts per million (TPM) value of the *nosZ* gene increased after N₂O enrichment (Fig. 5c). The taxonomic identities of the taxa harboring *nosZ* were mainly affiliated with Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Others.

The functional potential of methane metabolism and nitrogen metabolism in the microbial communities was also investigated. After N₂O enrichment in the soil, the abundances of genes related to methane metabolism (*mcr*) and nitrogen fixation (*nif*) obviously decreased (Fig. S2). The abundances of some denitrification genes, such as *nirS*, *norB*, *norC*, and *nosZ*, increased in all samples with incubation time. In the DF series in which fluvo-aquic soil and digestate were used as substrates, increased abundances of genes related to ammonia oxidation (*amo*) were also observed. The other genes, *nar*, *nir*, *nap*, and *nas*, showed a strong correlation with soil since they were only enriched in soil samples.

The results of CAZy annotation (Fig. 5d, Fig. S3) also showed that the gene abundances of enzymes related to carbohydrate hydrolysis, glycoside hydrolases (GHs), polysaccharide lyases (PLs), carbohydratebinding modules (CBMs), in the digestate were higher, while the gene abundances of glycosyl transferases (GTs), carbohydrate esterases (CEs), auxiliary activities (AAs) were higher in the soil.

3.4. The recovered genomes of denitrifying bacteria

After binning of metagenome reads of dual enrichment samples, 296 non-redundant bins with completeness >80 % and contamination <10 % were obtained, including 290 bacterial bins and 6 archaeal bins. Among the bacterial bins, there were 104 Bacteroidetes, 65 Proteobacteria and 60 Firmicutes (Fig. S4a). After identifying genes by using the KEGG Orthology databases, 62 bins were identified as carriers of the nosZ gene. The genome information and taxonomy of each nosZ genecontaining bin are shown in Table S3. Among these, bins affiliated with Cloacibacterium, Sediminibacterium and Desulfobacterota were found to contain only nosZ, without dissimilatory nitrate/nitrite reduction genes, and were thus considered as non-denitrifying N2O-reducing bacteria (NNRB). In contrast, the bins containing strains within Azonexus, Pseudomonas and Flavobacterium have other denitrification genes, such as napA/narG/nirK/nirS. The phylogenetic tree of the nosZ genes was constructed by the sequences recovered from metagenome bins together with 10 nosZ clade I and 10 nosZ clade II reference sequences (downloaded from the Uniprot database) (Sanford et al., 2012) (Fig. S4b). The results showed that, except for Azonexus, all the bacteria belonging to Proteobacteria contained nosZ clade I, while the rest of the bacteria harbored nosZ clade II.



Fig. 3. Changes in the microbial community during dual enrichment. The alpha diversities of each enrichment series (i.e., with different soils) were measured by the Shannon index of the 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing (Panel **a**) and metagenomic sequencing (Panel **b**). The indices are expressed as the mean \pm SEM with ordinary one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test. FD and ED represent fresh digestate and enriched digestate, respectively. The dual N₂O enriched samples were collected after each 7-day incubation, and the samples collected at the same timepoints in different series were divided into steps. Steps 1, 3, 5, and 7 were soil samples, while steps 2, 4, 6, and 8 were digestate samples. Panels **c** and **d** show the results of principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of the microbial communities measured by 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing results and metagenomic sequencing results and metagenomic sequencing results distances. The four parallel enrichment series (DR: red soil–digestate transfer; DF: fluvo-aquic soil–digestate transfer; DM: soil mixture–digestate transfer; DRF: red soil–digestate–fluvo-aquic soil–digestate transfer; DR: red soil–digestate–fluvo-aquic soil–digestate transfer; DM: soil mixture–digestate transfer; DRF: red soil–digestate–fluvo-aquic soil–digestate transfer; DR: of soil different categories (indicated in the Panel **c**, **d**).

3.5. Selection of N₂O-reducing bacterial isolates as potential N₂O sinks

Cloacibacterium was enriched in the dual enrichment experiment with N₂O addition and reached very high abundances in both the soil and digestate. The assembled *Cloacibacterium* bins harbored the *nosZ* gene clade II without dissimilatory nitrate/nitrite reduction genes, suggesting that the bacteria belonging to *Cloacibacterium* could be potential high-efficiency nitrous oxide reducers. Isolating these bacteria would be of great benefit. After screening 350 isolates that were identified into 32 genera by 16S rRNA gene sequencing (Table S4) and by the amplification of nitrogen cycle-related genes (Table S5), the bacteria affiliated with three genera, *Cloacibacterium*, *Bacillus* and *Chryseobacterium*, were recognized as potential NNRB because these bacteria only contained *nosZ* clade II. These NNRB isolates together with the other representative isolates, which were shown to contain *nosZ* and abundant in the enrichment, such as *Pseudomonas* and *Azonexus*, etc. (Fig. S4, Table S3), were selected for the denitrification and N₂O reduction measurements. Finally, seven isolates were found to be putatively aggressive N₂O-reducing bacteria (N₂O sink) (Fig. S5), including three non-denitrifying N₂O-reducing bacteria and four denitrifying N₂O-reducing bacteria. The genotypes and phenotypes of these strains are shown in Table 2.

3.6. Comparison of Cloacibacterium strains isolated from different digestates

The whole genome sequences of an isolate of TD35 were assembled with a total of 2,595,287 bp and an average GC content of 33.08 % (Table S6), and this isolate was affiliated with *Cloacibacterium normanense* by 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis (Fig. S6). A total of 1185



Fig. 4. Comparison of microbial community composition at the genus level. (a) Taxonomic composition based on 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing data, presented as the relative abundances of major microbial genera. (b) Heatmapping and clustering of the top 50 genera with the highest abundances identified by metagenomic sequencing data. These genera were clustered into five clades (I, II, III, IV, V) based on shifts in their relative abundances throughout the different samples. Soil samples are highlighted in green while digestate samples are highlighted in brown. FD and ED represent fresh digestate and enriched digestate, respectively. DR series: red soil–digestate transfer; DF series: fluvo-aquic soil–digestate transfer; DM series: soil mixture–digestate transfer; DRF series: red soil–digestate transfer.



Fig. 5. Diversities and abundances of functional genes. (a) Shannon diversity based on identified KOs (KEGG Orthologues). (b) Shannon diversity based on identified carbohydrate-active enzymes in the CAZy database. (c) Abundances (as TPM values) and classifications of the *nosZ* genes encoding nitrous-oxide reductase, the entry of which in the KEGG Orthology databases is K00376. (d) Abundances (as TPM values) of genes encoding six carbohydrate-active enzymes. Auxiliary activities (AAs), carbohydrate-binding modules (CBMs), carbohydrate esterases (CEs), glycoside hydrolases (GHs), glycosyl transferases (GTs), polysaccharide lyases (PLs). Soil samples are highlighted in green while digestate samples are highlighted in brown. FD and ED represent fresh digestate and enriched digestate, respectively. DR series: red soil–digestate transfer; DF series: fluvo-aquic soil–digestate transfer.

Table 2

The property of selected N2O-reducing bacterial isolates.

Isolates	Taxonomy	Clusters of 16S rRNA gene	Genotype (gene amplification)				Phenotype		
			nirK	nirS	nosZ I	nosZ II	Nitrate reduction	Nitrite reduction	N ₂ O reduction
TD35	Cloacibacterium	ASV_2				1	×	×	1
TS69	Bacillus	/				1	×	×	 Image: A set of the set of the
TS38	Chryseobacterium	ASV_34				1	×	×	 Image: A set of the set of the
RD87	Azonexus	/		1			×	1	 Image: A set of the set of the
TD77	Paracoccus	ASV_987			1		×	1	 Image: A second s
RS36	Rhizobium	ASV_206	1	1	1		×	1	 Image: A second s
RS30	Denitrobacter	/			1		×	1	1

annotated protein-coding genes confirmed that *Cloacibacterium* sp. TD35 only harbors the *nosZ* gene but lacks dissimilatory nitrate/nitrite reduction genes. The genome of this anaerobic pig slurry digestatederived *Cloacibacterium* bacteria was compared with the genomes of two other *Cloacibacterium* strains isolated from dual substrate anaerobic enrichments with N₂O, using municipal wastewater and clay loam (Jonassen et al., 2022b): *Cloacibacterium caeni* CB01 (GenBank assembly accession: GCA_907163125) and *Cloacibacterium caeni* CB03 (GenBank assembly accession: GCA_907163105). The results show that the nucleotide sequence identity of the three *nosZ* genes is >94.37. These three genomes have 832 KOs in common, but TD35 contains 33 unique KOs (Table S7, Fig. S7).

3.7. The selected isolates reduce N_2O emissions in soil

The capacity of the isolates to act as sinks for N_2O was assessed in different soils by measuring N_2 and N_2O kinetics during anaerobic incubation. The soils were amended with digestates in which the different isolates had been grown to high cell density. Some of the N_2O -reducing bacteria augmented the digestate-enhanced mitigation effect (Fig. 6, Fig. S8), but their effects appeared to depend on soil type. The NNRB- strains *Chryseobacterium* and *Bacilllus* were effective in all soils, while the third NNRB-strain *Cloacibacterium* had an effect only in the very acidic red soil (pH = 4.8). Among the denitrifying strains (i.e., with *nirS/ K*), the N₂O indices were lower (compared to the soil with sterilized digestate) in the fluvo-aquic soil (pH = 8.4) and the black soil (pH = 6.2) but not in the very acidic red soil. After a month of aerobic incubation in the microcosms, however, the N₂O reduction effects were no longer statistically significant in any of the soils (Figs. S9 and S10).



Fig. 6. Effects of enriched digestate addition on N₂O accumulation in three types of soil after one-day aerobic incubation. The main graphs (Panels **a**, **b** and **c**) show the N₂O kinetics in different soils amended with various pretreated digestates and one control (sterilized digestate addition) immediately after digestate addition. The data are expressed as the mean \pm SEM for each treatment (n = 3). The bar graphs to the right show the N₂O indices expressed as a percentage (average I_{N2O} for each treatment), and statistical significance was assessed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001). I_{N2O} is the area under the N₂O curve as a percentage of the area under the N₂O + N₂ curve of the first 48 h after NO₃⁻ addition.

4. Discussion

4.1. Utilization of digestate as biofertilizer

The application of manure and digestates to soils increases the organic content and thus is beneficial to improve the quality of soil. However, it also normally induces a transient increase in N_2O emission due to stimulated respiration and denitrification. Therefore, reducing emissions from the organic fertilization is also an urgent task, especially, in the context of the global response to climate change and the demand for control of greenhouse gas emissions.

Recent studies have shown that the application of N_2O -reducing bacteria can reduce N_2O emissions from agricultural soil. Using agricultural waste digestate carrying nitrous oxide-reducing bacteria (NRB) to fertilize the soil could be the best choice for a double win strategy. Digestate from an anaerobic digester fed with sewage sludge as the carrier being used to enrich the nitrous oxide-reducing bacteria was first reported by Jonassen and colleagues (Jonassen et al., 2022a&b). Anaerobic digestate (AD) uses various wastes as fermentation substrates (Liu et al., 2021). Sewage sludge may not be suitable for agricultural applications due to organic and heavy metal pollutants and possibly other hazardous materials, such as antibiotics and endocrine disrupters (Gu et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020).

Agricultural residue and animal waste digestate are rich in nutrients and widely used as biofertilizers for agriculture production (McDowell et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021a). Using animal waste digestate to cultivate the nitrous oxide-reducing bacteria would be more favorable to the practical application. In this study, we followed the same scheme as Jonassen et al. used (Jonassen et al., 2022b), but with a more agronomically relevant digestate (pig slurry digestate), expanded it to include several different types of farmland soils as substrates, intending to enrich, identify, isolate and apply the aggressive N₂O-reducing bacteria, which are expected to adapt to both digestate and various type of agricultural soils.

4.2. Bacteria adapted to soil and digestate

Microbial species can be divided into habitat generalists and habitat specialists (Muller, 2019; Peralta et al., 2014; Szekely and Langenheder, 2014). Habitat generalists have broad environmental tolerance and can survive in many habitats, while habitat specialists are more limited to specific habitats (Hu et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2018). Generally, under changing environmental conditions, habitat generalists are more competitive, while habitat specialists are apt to decline in numbers and eventually fade out. In this study, a dual enrichment procedure in which the microbial community was repeatedly transferred between the digestate and different sterile soils was conducted. The results showed that the enrichment cultures in the different soils converged with respect to the composition of their communities. These enriched microorganisms might be generalists considering that they were competent for different types of soils and survived in both soil and digestate.

Metagenomic analysis was performed in this study to better understand the microbial enrichment process. From the perspective of identified KOs, the diversities of soil samples were higher than that in the digestate. However, the CAZy annotation results showed that carbohydrate activity genes were more diverse in digestate than in soil. The possible reason is that liquid digestate substrate in constant stirring created a relatively uniform environment with diverse carbohydrate resources (Guilayn et al., 2020). Thus, the complexity of the microbial community in the digestate was low and dominated by bacteria relying on the degradation of carbohydrates, which contributed to the high gene abundances of glycoside hydrolases (GHs), polysaccharide lyases (PLs), carbohydrate-binding modules (CBMs) (Fig. S3).

4.3. N₂O-reducing bacteria and nitrous oxide reduction

By shotgun metagenomic sequencing and binning of MAGs, we identified some potential functional generalist N2O-reducing bacteria in the enriched samples (Wang et al., 2021b). N₂O-reducing bacteria were isolated by using the identified genomic information as a guide (Mao et al., 2010). Among them, seven isolated strains were identified as N₂O sinks due to their strong N2O reduction ability. One of the three NNRB belongs to the genus Cloacibacterium, and N2O-reducing bacteria from the same genus were also isolated in the study of Jonassen et al. (2022b), although the digestate used in the two studies had nothing in common. However, the two strains isolated from wastewater sludge by Jonassen et al. (2022b) were later found to grow poorly in animal manure or manure digestate (Jonassen, personal communication), indicating that they cannot be used for manufacturing biofertilizer by animal manure. Further comparative genome analysis for three isolates indicated that the hipO gene, which encodes hippurate hydrolase (Table S7), an enzyme utilizing the hippurate in the manure digestate, was carried only by the TD35 genome but not by the other two genomes.

The microcosm experiment showed that all seven strains were effective N₂O-sinks in at least one of the three soils (Fig. 6). All NNRB strains (Cloacibacterium, Chryseobacterium and Bacillus) effectively reduced the N₂O/N₂ product ratio in the acid red soil, while in the less acidic black soil and the alkaline fluvio-aquic soil, the only effective NNRB-strain was Chryseobacteriunm. The other strains (i.e., with functional NirK/S) showed the opposite trend, reducing the N₂O/N₂ product ratio in the black- and fluvo-aquic soils, but not in the acid red soils. This lack of effect in the acid soil is plausibly due to hampered synthesis of functional N2O-reductase at low pH, as demonstrated for a number of model strains (Bakken and Frostegård, 2020). The NNRB strains showed the opposite pattern, by being most effective in the acidic soil. This could be taken to suggest that the synthesis of N2O-reductase in these strains requires low pH, as demonstrated for a strain identified as Rhodanobacter (Lycus et al., 2017). However, the failure of an isolate to reduce N₂O in a particular soil can be due to other factors than pH: since the dual enrichment technique used gamma-sterilized soil (not live soils), there is no selection for the ability to withstand the suppressive effect of the indigenous soil microbiota. It may well be, therefore, that the variable performance of the strains, as dependent on the soil type, is due to specific suppressive effects of the indigenous microbiota in the different soil

Although the four bacterial isolates containing with *nosZ* clade I could function well to reduce N_2O emissions in the black soil and fluvoaquic soil, they were all able to reduce nitrite, which means that they could enhance nitrogen loss via denitrification. In this respect, NNRB strains are much to prefer because they can only respire N_2O produced by denitrifying organisms in the soil, thus affecting the N_2O/N_2 product of denitrification in soil, but not the rate of denitrification.

Fertilizing with digestates generally induces a transient burst of N_2O emission (Baral et al., 2017), and reducing N_2O emissions during this period is of great importance. The experiments demonstrated that this was achieved with all strains in at least one of the soils, while the measured effects 30 days after application was marginal, suggesting that the strains are unable to survive for long time in soil.

4.4. nosZ II plays a crucial role in N₂O reducing

Another finding in this study is that bacteria containing *nosZ* clade II, such as *Cloacibacterium*, *Azonexus*, and *Flavobacterium*, were enriched more than organisms with *nosZ* clade I during dual enrichment. In fact, the majority of known *nosZ* clade II microbes are considered as non-denitrifying N₂O reducers due to the lack of the *nir* gene (Graf et al., 2014; Hallin et al., 2018). Moreover, *nosZ* clade II has a wider distribution among microbial taxa (Chee-Sanford et al., 2020; Jones et al., 2014; Shan et al., 2021), and these microorganisms are widely found in different ecosystems (Bertagnolli et al., 2020; Domeignoz-Horta et al., 2020; Domeign

2016). Compared with nosZ clade I carrying N₂O reducers, nosZ clade II organisms appear to have a higher affinity for N₂O, which provides them with a selective advantage when competing for limited N₂O in situ in the micro-environment of soil (Yoon et al., 2016). All these studies suggest that nosZ clade II-carrying microorganisms may have better N2O reduction potential than nosZ clade I-carrying microorganisms. Studies have already performed some enrichment and isolation of nosZ clade II carrying bacteria, such as Dechloromonas and Azospira which were proven to be N₂O sinks (Conthe et al., 2018; Suenaga et al., 2019). Based on these results, nosZ clade II bacteria are more promising for use in agriculture to reduce N2O emissions because these microorganisms have higher growth yield, higher N2O affinity, and better resilience to oxygen exposure (Qi et al., 2021). In this study, nosZ clade II bacteria accounted for the majority of isolated nosZ-containing bacteria. Interestingly, most of the enriched bacteria in Jonassen's work also belonged to nosZ clade II-carrying bacteria (Jonassen et al., 2022b). This implies that the varying environment of the alternating incubation in farmland soil and digestate during dual enrichment with N2O may be more favorable for nosZ clade II-carrying bacteria.

5. Conclusion

Enhancing the abundances and activities of functional bacterial N₂O sinks could be a promising way to reduce N₂O emissions from agricultural soils. In this study, we intended to screen highly adaptive N₂O sink bacteria that could adapt well to various soils and be cultured in manure digestate as a type of biofertilizer to achieve the goal of mitigating N₂O emissions during fertilization in farmland. The results showed that the digestate cultured with selected NNRB strains significantly reduced N₂O emissions after being applied to three different farmland soils. This study provides a comprehensive understanding of N₂O reducers and their adaptivity in digestate and different soils. This further indicates that the application of agricultural waste digestate-derived organic fertilizer containing highly efficient N₂O-reducing bacteria could be a promising strategy for mitigating N₂O emissions from agricultural soils.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Xinhui Wang: Methodology, Investigation, Data analysis, Draft writing and editing.

Baoyu Xiang: Resources, Data analysis.

Ji Li: Investigation, Methodology.

Menghui Zhang: Methodology, Data analysis.

Asa Frostegard: Conceptualization, Writing- review & editing.

Lars Bakken: Conceptualization, Writing- review & editing.

Xiaojun Zhang: Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Writing– review & editing, Funding acquisition, Project administration.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

All the raw sequence reads reported in this study, including 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing, metagenome sequencing, whole genome sequencing, and the assembled *Cloacibacterium* sp. TD35 genome were deposited at the NCBI GenBank under the BioProject accession number PRJNA871363.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC project 31971526, 31861133018), and the National Key

Research and Development Program of China (2017YFD0200102).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.166284.

References

- Aamer, M., Shaaban, M., Hassan, M.U., Guoqin, H., Ying, L., Hai Ying, T., Rasul, F., Qiaoying, M., Zhuanling, L., Rasheed, A., Peng, Z., 2020. Biochar mitigates the N2O emissions from acidic soil by increasing the nosZ and nirK gene abundance and soil pH. J. Environ. Manag. 255, 109891 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jenvman.2019.109891.
- Akiyama, H., Hoshino, Y.T., Itakura, M., Shimomura, Y., Wang, Y., Yamamoto, A., Tago, K., Nakajima, Y., Minamisawa, K., Hayatsu, M., 2016. Mitigation of soil N2O emission by inoculation with a mixed culture of indigenous Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens. Sci. Rep.-UK 6, 32869. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep32869.
- Bahram, M., Espenberg, M., Parn, J., Lehtovirta-Morley, L., Anslan, S., Kasak, K., Koljalg, U., Liira, J., Maddison, M., Moora, M., et al., 2022. Structure and function of the soil microbiome underlying N2O emissions from global wetlands. Nat. Commun. 13, 1430. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-29161-3.
- Bakken, L.R., Frostegard, A., 2017. Sources and sinks for N2O, can microbiologist help to mitigate N2O emissions? Environ. Microbiol. 19, 4801–4805. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/1462-2920.13978.
- Bakken, L.R., Frostegard, A., 2020. Emerging options for mitigating N2O emissions from food production by manipulating the soil microbiota. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain. 47, 89–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2020.08.010.
- Baral, K.R., Labouriau, R., Olesen, J.E., Petersen, S.O., 2017. Nitrous oxide emissions and nitrogen use efficiency of manure and digestates applied to spring barley. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 239, 188–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.01.012.
- Bertagnolli, A.D., Konstantinidis, K.T., Stewart, F.J., 2020. Non-denitrifier nitrous oxide reductases dominate marine biomes. Environ. Microbiol. Rep. 12, 681–692. https:// doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12879.
- Bolyen, E., Rideout, J.R., Dillon, M.R., Bokulich, N.A., Abnet, C.C., Al-Ghalith, G.A., Alexander, H., Alm, E.J., Arumugam, M., Asnicar, F., et al., 2019. Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. Nat. Biotechnol. 37, 852–857. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9.
- Chee-Sanford, J.C., Connor, L., Krichels, A., Yang, W.H., Sanford, R.A., 2020. Hierarchical detection of diverse Clade II (atypical) nosZ genes using new primer sets for classical- and multiplex PCR array applications. J. Microbiol. Methods 172, 105908. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2020.105908.
- Conthe, M., Wittorf, L., Kuenen, J.G., Kleerebezem, R., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., Hallin, S., 2018. Life on N2O: deciphering the ecophysiology of N2O respiring bacterial communities in a continuous culture. ISME J. 12, 1142–1153. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41396-018-0063-7.
- Das, S., Wang, W.J., Reeves, S., Dalal, R.C., Dang, Y.P., Kopittke, P.M., 2022. Combined application of lime and a nitrification inhibitor (3,4-dimethylpyrazole phosphate) markedly decreased nitrous oxide emissions from an acid soil. Agronomy 12, 1040. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12051040.
- Davidson, E.A., Kanter, D., 2014. Inventories and scenarios of nitrous oxide emissions. Environ. Res. Lett. 9, 105012 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/10/105012.
- Domeignoz-Horta, L.A., Putz, M., Spor, A., Bru, D., Breuil, M.C., Hallin, S., Philippot, L., 2016. Non-denitrifying nitrous oxide-reducing bacteria - an effective N2O sink in soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 103, 376–379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. soilbio.2016.09.010.
- Gao, N., Shen, W., Kakuta, H., Tanaka, N., Fujiwara, T., Nishizawa, T., Takaya, N., Nagamine, T., Isobe, K., Otsuka, S., Senoo, K., 2016. Inoculation with nitrous oxide (N2O)-reducing denitrifier strains simultaneously mitigates N2O emission from pasture soil and promotes growth of pasture plants. Soil Biol. Biochem. 97, 83–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.03.004.
- Ghosh, S., Yang, X., Wang, L., Zhang, C., Zhao, L., 2021. Active phase prebiotic feeding alters gut microbiota, induces weight-independent alleviation of hepatic steatosis and serum cholesterol in high-fat diet-fed mice. Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J. 19, 448–458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2020.12.011.
- Graf, D.R.H., Jones, C.M., Hallin, S., 2014. Intergenomic comparisons highlight modularity of the denitrification pathway and underpin the importance of community structure for N2O emissions. PLoS One 9, e114118. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0114118.
- Gu, Y.R., Shen, S.Z., Han, B.J., Tian, X.L., Yang, F.X., Zhang, K.Q., 2020. Family livestock waste: an ignored pollutant resource of antibiotic resistance genes. Ecotox. Environ. Safe 197, 110567. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.110567.
- Guilayn, F., Rouez, M., Crest, M., Patureau, D., Jimenez, J., 2020. Valorization of digestates from urban or centralized biogas plants: a critical review. Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol. 19, 419–462. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-020-09531-3.
- Hallin, S., Philippot, L., Loffler, F.E., Sanford, R.A., Jones, C.M., 2018. Genomics and ecology of novel N2O-reducing microorganisms. Trends Microbiol. 26, 43–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2017.07.003.
- Hassan, M.U., Aamer, M., Mahmood, A., Awan, M.I., Barbanti, L., Seleiman, M.F., Bakhsh, G., Alkharabsheh, H.M., Babur, E., Shao, J.H., et al., 2022. Management strategies to mitigate N20 emissions in agriculture. Life-Basel 12, 439. https://doi. org/10.3390/life12030439.

X. Wang et al.

He, L.L., Shan, J., Zhao, X., Wang, S.Q., Yan, X.Y., 2019. Variable responses of nitrification and denitrification in a paddy soil to long-term biochar amendment and short-term biochar addition. Chemosphere 234, 558–567. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.chemosphere.2019.06.038.

Henault, C., Barbier, E., Hartmann, A., Revellin, C., 2022. New insights into the use of rhizobia to mitigate soil N2O emissions. Agriculture 12. https://doi.org/10.3390/ agriculture12020271.

Hu, A., Wang, H., Cao, M., Rashid, A., Li, M., Yu, C.P., 2019. Environmental filtering drives the assembly of habitat generalists and specialists in the coastal sand microbial communities of southern China. Microorganisms 7. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/microorganisms7120598.

Hu, H.W., Chen, D., He, J.Z., 2015. Microbial regulation of terrestrial nitrous oxide formation: understanding the biological pathways for prediction of emission rates. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 39, 729–749. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuv021.

IPCC, 2018. Summary for Policymakers. In: Global Warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, H.-O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P.R. Shukla, A. Pirani, W. Moufouma-Okia, C. Péan, R. Pidcock, S. Connors, J. B.R. Matthews, Y. Chen, X. Zhou, M.I. Gomis, E. Lonnoy, T. Maycock, M. Tignor, and T. Waterfield (eds.)]. World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 32 pp. https://www.iocc.ch/sr15/.

Jetten, M.S.M., Stams, A.J.M., Zehnder, A.J.B., 1992. Methanogenesis from acetate - a comparison of the acetate metabolism in Methanothrix-Soehngenii and Methanosarcina Spp. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 88, 181–197. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0378-1097(92)90802-U.

Jonassen, K.R., Hagen, L.H., Vick, S.H.W., Arntzen, M.O., Eijsink, V.G.H., Frostegard, A., Lycus, P., Molstad, L., Pope, P.B., Bakken, L.R., 2022a. Nitrous oxide respiring bacteria in biogas digestates for reduced agricultural emissions. ISME J. 16, 580–590. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-01101-x.

Jonassen, K.R., Ormasen, I., Duffner, C., Hvidsten, T.R., Bakken, L.R., Vick, S.H.W., 2022b. A dual enrichment strategy provides soil- and digestate-competent nitrous oxide-respiring bacteria for mitigating climate forcing in agriculture. Mbio 13. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00788-22.

Jones, C.M., Spor, A., Brennan, F.P., Breuil, M.C., Bru, D., Lemanceau, P., Griffiths, B., Hallin, S., Philippot, L., 2014. Recently identified microbial guild mediates soil N2O sink capacity. Nat. Clim. Chang. 4, 801–805. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nclimate/301

Kim, N.K., Lee, S.H., Kim, Y., Park, H.D., 2021. Current understanding and perspectives in anaerobic digestion based on genome-resolved metagenomic approaches. Bioresour, Technol, 344, 126350 https://doi.org/10.1016/i.biortech.2021.126350.

Lin, S.S., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., 2020. Nitrous oxide emissions from manured soils as a function of various nitrification inhibitor rates and soil moisture contents. Sci. Total Environ. 738, 139669 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139669.

Liu, B., Morkved, P.T., Frostegard, A., Bakken, L.R., 2010. Denitrification gene pools, transcription and kinetics of NO, N2O and N2 production as affected by soil pH. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 72, 407–417. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00856.x.

Liu, H.S., Li, Y.F., Pan, B.B., Zheng, X.Z., Yu, J.H., Ding, H., Zhang, Y.S., 2022. Pathways of soil N2O uptake, consumption, and its driving factors: a review. Environ. Sci. Pollut. R. 29, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-18619-y.

Liu, H.Y., Li, H.B., Zhang, A.P., Rahaman, M.A., Yang, Z.L., 2020. Inhibited effect of biochar application on N2O emissions is amount and time-dependent by regulating denitrification in a wheat-maize rotation system in North China. Sci. Total Environ. 721, 137636 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137636.

Liu, Q., Li, Y.F., Yang, F., Liu, X.R., Wang, D.B., Xu, Q.X., Zhang, Y., Yang, Q., 2021. Understanding the mechanism of how anaerobic fermentation deteriorates sludge dewaterability. Chem. Eng. J. 404, 127026 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cei.2020.127026.

Lycus, P., Bothun, K.L., Bergaust, L., Shapleigh, J.P., Bakken, L.R., Frostegard, A., 2017. Phenotypic and genotypic richness of denitrifiers revealed by a novel isolation strategy. ISME J. 11, 2219–2232. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.82.

Mao, Y.J., Zhang, X.J., Xia, X., Zhong, H.H., Zhao, L.P., 2010. Versatile aromatic compound-degrading capacity and microdiversity of Thauera strains isolated from a coking wastewater treatment bioreactor. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 37, 927–934. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-010-0740-7.

McDowell, D., Dick, J.T.A., Eagling, L., Julius, M., Sheldrake, G.N., Theodoridou, K., Walsh, P.J., 2020. Recycling nutrients from anaerobic digestates for the cultivation of Phaeodactylum tricornutum: a feasibility study. Algal Res. 48 https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.algal.2020.101893.

Mcllroy, S.J., Kirkegaard, R.H., Dueholm, M.S., Fernando, E., Karst, S.M., Albertsen, M., Nielsen, P.H., 2017. Culture-independent analyses reveal novel Anaerolineaceae as abundant primary fermenters in anaerobic digesters treating waste activated sludge. Front. Microbiol. 8 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01134.

Moller, K., Muller, T., 2012. Effects of anaerobic digestion on digestate nutrient availability and crop growth: a review. Eng. Life Sci. 12, 242–257. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/elsc.201100085.

Molstad, L., Dorsch, P., Bakken, L.R., 2007. Robotized incubation system for monitoring gases (O-2, NO, N2ON2) in denitrifying cultures. J. Microbiol. Methods 71, 202–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2007.08.011.

Montzka, S.A., Dlugokencky, E.J., Butler, J.H., 2011. Non-CO2 greenhouse gases and climate change. Nature 476, 43–50. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10322.

Muller, E.E.L., 2019. Determining microbial niche breadth in the environment for better ecosystem fate predictions. mSystems 4. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00080-19. Nguyen, L.T., Schmidt, H.A., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B.Q., 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32, 268–274. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300.

Nishizawa, T., Quan, A.H., Kai, A., Tago, K., Ishii, S., Shen, W.S., Isobe, K., Otsuka, S., Senoo, K., 2014. Inoculation with N-2-generating denitrifier strains mitigates N₂O emission from agricultural soil fertilized with poultry manure. Biol. Fertil. Soils 50, 1001–1007. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-014-0918-7.

Obando, M., Correa-Galeote, D., Castellano-Hinojosa, A., Gualpa, J., Hidalgo, A., Alche, J.D., Bedmar, E., Cassan, F., 2019. Analysis of the denitrification pathway and greenhouse gases emissions in Bradyrhizobium sp. strains used as biofertilizers in South America. J. Appl. Microbiol. 127, 739–749. https://doi.org/10.1111/ jam.14233.

Peralta, A.L., Matthews, J.W., Kent, A.D., 2014. Habitat specialization along a wetland moisture gradient differs between Ammonia-oxidizing and denitrifying microorganisms. Microb. Ecol. 68, 339–350. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-014-0407-4.

Pulles, T., van Amstel, A., 2010. An overview of non-CO₂ greenhouse gases. J. Integr. Environ. Sci. 7, 3–19. https://doi.org/10.1080/1943815X.2010.505241.

Qi, C., Zhou, Y., Suenaga, T., Oba, K., Lu, J., Wang, G., Zhang, L., Yoon, S., Terada, A., 2021. Organic carbon determines nitrous oxide consumption activity of clade I and II nosZ bacteria: genomic and biokinetic insights. Water Res. 209, 117910 https://doi. org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117910.

Qin, X.C., Ji, M.M., Wu, X.G., Li, C.J., Gao, Y.S., Li, J., Wu, Q.Y., Zhang, X.J., Zhang, Z.J., 2019. Response of treatment performance and microbial community structure to the temporary suspension of an industrial anaerobic bioreactor. Sci. Total Environ. 646, 229–237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.309

Samad, M.S., Bakken, L.R., Nadeem, S., Clough, T.J., de Klein, C.A.M., Richards, K.G., Lanigan, G.J., Morales, S.E., 2016. High-resolution denitrification kinetics in pasture soils link N₂O emissions to pH, and denitrification to C mineralization. PLoS One 11, e0151713. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0151713.

Sanchez, C., Minamisawa, K., 2019. Nitrogen cycling in soybean rhizosphere: sources and sinks of nitrous oxide (N₂O). Front. Microbiol. 10 https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2019.01943.

Sanford, R.A., Wagner, D.D., Wu, Q.Z., Chee-Sanford, J.C., Thomas, S.H., Cruz-Garcia, C., Rodriguez, G., Massol-Deya, A., Krishnani, K.K., Ritalahti, K.M., et al., 2012. Unexpected nondenitrifier nitrous oxide reductase gene diversity and abundance in soils. P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109, 19709–19714. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1211238109.

Scheer, C., Grace, P.R., Rowlings, D.W., Kimber, S., Van Zwieten, L., 2011. Effect of biochar amendment on the soil-atmosphere exchange of greenhouse gases from an intensive subtropical pasture in northern New South Wales, Australia. Plant Soil 345, 47–58. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0759-1.

Shaaban, M., Wu, Y.P., Wu, L., Hu, R.G., Younas, A., Nunez-Delgado, A., Xu, P., Sun, Z., Lin, S., Xu, X.Y., Jiang, Y.B., 2020. The effects of pH change through liming on soil N₂O emissions. Processes 8, 702. https://doi.org/10.3390/pr8060702.

Shan, J., Sanford, R.A., Chee-Sanford, J., Ooi, S.K., Loffler, F.E., Konstantinidis, K.T., Yang, W.H., 2021. Beyond denitrification: the role of microbial diversity in controlling nitrous oxide reduction and soil nitrous oxide emissions. Glob. Chang. Biol. 27, 2669–2683. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15545.

Stein, L.Y., 2020. The long-term relationship between microbial metabolism and greenhouse gases. Trends Microbiol. 28, 500–511. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tim.2020.01.006.

Suenaga, T., Hori, T., Riya, S., Hosomi, M., Smets, B.F., Terada, A., 2019. Enrichment, isolation, and characterization of high-affinity N₂O-reducing bacteria in a gaspermeable membrane reactor. Environ. Sci. Technol. 53, 12101–12112. https://doi. org/10.1021/acs.est.9b02237.

Szekely, A.J., Langenheder, S., 2014. The importance of species sorting differs between habitat generalists and specialists in bacterial communities. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 87, 102–112. https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6941.12195.

Tian, H.Q., Xu, R.T., Canadell, J.G., Thompson, R.L., Winiwarter, W., Suntharalingam, P., Davidson, E.A., Ciais, P., Jackson, R.B., Janssens-Maenhout, G., et al., 2020. A comprehensive quantification of global nitrous oxide sources and sinks. Nature 586, 248-+. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2780-0.

Vazquez, E., Teutscherova, N., Pastorelli, R., Lagomarsino, A., Giagnoni, L., Renella, G., 2020. Liming reduces N₂O emissions from Mediterranean soil after-rewetting and affects the size, structure and transcription of microbial communities. Soil Biol. Biochem. 147, 107839 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107839.

Wang, W.G., Zhang, Y.H., Liu, Y., Jiang, N., Zhao, Q., Deng, L.W., 2021a. Managing liquid digestate to support the sustainable biogas industry in China: maximizing biogas-linked agro-ecosystem balance. GCB Bioenergy 13, 880–892. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/gcbb.12823.

Wang, X.H., Xiang, B.Y., Zhang, M.H., Zhang, X.J., 2021b. Sixteen genome sequences of denitrifying Bacteria assembled from enriched cultures of anaerobic pig manure digestate. Microbiology Resource Announcements 10. https://doi.org/10.1128/ MRA.00782-21.

Wu, W.X., Lu, H.P., Sastri, A., Yeh, Y.C., Gong, G.C., Chou, W.C., Hsieh, C.H., 2018. Contrasting the relative importance of species sorting and dispersal limitation in shaping marine bacterial versus protist communities. ISME J. 12, 485–494. https:// doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.183.

Yanai, Y., Toyota, K., Okazaki, M., 2007. Effects of charcoal addition on N₂O emissions from soil resulting from rewetting air-dried soil in short-term laboratory experiments. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 53, 181–188. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-0765.2007.00123.x.

- Yang, L.Q., Zhang, X.J., Ju, X.T., 2017. Linkage between N₂O emission and functional Yang, Y., Wu, R., Hu, J., Xing, S., Huang, C., Mi, J., Liao, X., 2020. Dominant denitrifying bacteria are important hosts of antibiotic resistance genes in pig farm anoxic-oxic

wastewater treatment processes. Environ. Int. 143, 105897 https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.envint.2020.105897

Yoon, S., Nissen, S., Park, D., Sanford, R.A., Loffler, F.E., 2016. Nitrous oxide reduction kinetics distinguish Bacteria harboring clade I NosZ from those harboring clade II NosZ. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 82, 3793–3800. https://doi.org/10.1128/ Aem.00409-16.